INVESTMENTS II, INC., BEAR

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

STEARNS ALT-A TRUST, MORTGAGE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) and 6(b)(a)(1)(A) and LR 26-4, Plaintiff, Citibank, N.A. as Trustee for the Certificateholders of Structured Asset Mortgage Investments II, Inc., Bear Stearns ALT-A Trust, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-6 and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. ("Trustee"), Defendant SFR Investment Pools 1, LLC ("SFR"), and Defendant Seville Etage Homeowners Association (the "Association") (together, the "Parties") hereby submit the following Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Second Request).

Under the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order [ECF No. 33], as amended by the signed Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines [ECF No. 42.] the current deadlines are as follows:

Discovery Cut-Off	Tuesday, January 2, 2018
Dispositive Motions	Thursday, February 1, 2018
Joint Pre-Trial Order	Thursday, March 1, 2018

Pursuant to LR 26-4, a stipulation to extend any dates set by the scheduling order must be supported by a showing of good cause for the extension. "The good cause inquiry focuses primarily on the movant's diligence." Novotny v. Outback Steakhouse of Fla., LLC, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 114672 at \*2 (D. Nev. July 21, 2017) (citing Coleman v. Quaker Oats Co., 232 F.3d 1271, 1294-95 (9th Cir. 2000)). "Good cause to extend a discovery deadline exists 'if it cannot reasonably be met

702) 471-7000 FAX (702) 471-7070

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension." Id. (quoting Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992)). Good cause exists in this case. All of the Parties have exercised diligence with regards to completing discovery. The Parties believe that a 60-day extension is warranted given the need to take, defend, and prepare for the deposition of the Trustee's 30(b)(6) witness.

# (a) Statement Specifying the Discovery Completed;

At this point in litigation, Trustee has provided its initial disclosures, issued supplemental initial disclosures, responded to SFR's first and second set of discovery requests, served and received responses to written discovery requests to all Parties, and noticed the 30(b)(6) deposition of SFR's chosen witness.

SFR has provided its initial disclosures and served and received responses to written discovery requests to the Trustee, served a second round of written discovery on the Trustee on September 29, 2017. SFR took the deposition of the Trustee's 30(b)(6) witness on December 6, 2017, but left the deposition open because the Trustee had been unable to obtain certain documents about which SFR sought information in advance of the noticed deposition. The parties rescheduled the deposition of the Trustee's 30(b)(6) witness for December 19, 2017. Though the Trustee was able to obtain the requested documents by that date, it was unable to complete its investigation about the contents of the documents by that date so as to be able to respond to SFR's questions. Accordingly, the Parties agreed to vacate the deposition of the Trustee's 30(b)(6) witness and reschedule at a later date once the Trustee is able to obtain the requested information. Unfortunately, the Parties were unable to find a date where counsel and the witness had availability before the current close of discovery.

The Association has served its initial disclosures and served written discovery requests to the Trustee.

## (b) Specific Description of the Discovery that Remains to be Completed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Once Trustee finalizes its responses to written discovery requests issued by the HOA, the Trustee needs to provide these responses.

The 30(b)(6) deposition of the Trustee's chosen witness needs to be continued to a later date because SFR has asked for the witness to be prepared to answer questions about the content and context of certain documents, and the Trustee has agreed to investigate and attempt to obtain this information in advance of the 30(b)(6) deposition. Given witness and counsel availability, such an extension will require at a minimum a 30-day extension of discovery, and to be sure the relevant schedules can be accommodated, the Parties would prefer a 60-day extension of the close of discovery.

# (c) The Reasons Why Remaining Discovery Was Not Completed

Despite their diligence in completing discovery in this case, the Parties have been unable to arrive at a mutually agreeable deposition date for the continued deposition of the Trustee's 30(b)(6) witness due to trial demands and depositions in other matters. Additionally, the Trustee requires additional time to investigate and obtain the information about which SFR seeks to ask questions. The Parties believe an additional 60 days will provide adequate time to conduct the depositions of Trustee's 30(b)(6) witness.

# (d) Proposed Schedule for Completing All Remaining Discovery

The Parties propose a 60-day extension of the remaining discovery dates as follows:

Discovery Cut-Off	Monday, March 5, 2018
Dispositive Motions	Wednesday, April 4, 2018
Joint Pre-Trial Order	Friday, May 4, 2018

# 1980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 900 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89135

702) 471-7000 FAX (702) 471-7070

1

### 2 For the above-stated reasons, the Parties respectfully request that this Court 3 enter an Order granting this Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Second Request) using the new deadlines noted above. 4 Dated: January 2, 2018. 5 6 BALLARD SPAHR LLP 7 P.C. By: /s/ Justin A. Shiroff Abran E. Vigil, NV Bar No. 7548 8 Justin A. Shiroff, NV Bar No. 12869 9 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff Citibank, N.A. 11 as Trustee for the Certificateholders of Structured Asset Mortgage 12 Investments II, Inc., Bear Stearns ALT-A Trust, Mortgage Pass-Through 13 Certificates Series 2006-6 and Mortgage Electronic Registration 14 Systems, Inc. 15 KIM GILBERT EBRON 16 By: /s/ Diana S. Ebron 17 Diana S. Ebron, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10580 18 Jacqueline A. Gilbert, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10593 19 Karen L. Hanks, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9578 20 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 21 Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, 22 LLC23 2425 26 27

LIPSON NEILSON COLE SELTZER & GARIN,

By: /s/ David T. Ochoa Kaleb D. Anderson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 07582 David T. Ochoa, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10414 9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorney for Defendant Seville Etage Homeowners Association

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: 1-4-2018

CONCLUSION

28