1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7		
8	INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY,	
9	et al.,	) Case No. 2:16-cv-02792-APG-NJK
10	Plaintiff(s),	) ORDER
11	VS.	ý
	ILLINOIS NATIONAL INSURANCE CO.,	)
12		)
13	Defendant(s).	
14		
15	Pending before the Court is a Stipulated Protective Order (Docket No. 19), which the Cour	
16	approved to facilitate discovery in this case. This order reminds counsel that there is a presumption	
17	of public access to judicial files and records. A party seeking to file a confidential document under	
18	seal must file a motion to seal and must comply with the Ninth Circuit's directives in Kamakana v	
19	City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).	
20	The Court has adopted electronic filing procedures. Attorneys must file documents under	

The Court has adopted electronic filing procedures. Attorneys must file documents under seal using the Court's electronic filing procedures. *See* Local Rule IA 10-5. Papers filed with the Court under seal must be accompanied with a concurrently-filed motion for leave to file those documents under seal. *See* Local Rule IA 10-5(a).

The Court has approved the blanket protective order to facilitate discovery exchanges. But
there has been no showing, and the Court has not found, that any specific documents are secret
or confidential. The parties have not provided specific facts supported by declarations or concrete
examples to establish that a protective order is required to protect any specific trade secret or other
confidential information pursuant to Rule 26(c) or that disclosure would cause an identifiable and

significant harm. The Ninth Circuit has held that there is a presumption of public access to judicial 1 2 files and records, and that parties seeking to maintain the confidentiality of documents attached to nondispositive motions must show good cause exists to overcome the presumption of public access. 3 4 See Kamakana 447 F.3d at 1179. Parties seeking to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to 5 dispositive motions must show compelling reasons sufficient to overcome the presumption of public access. Id. at 1180. All motions to seal must address the applicable standard and explain why 6 7 that standard has been met. The fact that a court has entered a blanket stipulated protective order 8 and that a party has designated a document as confidential pursuant to that protective order does not, 9 standing alone, establish sufficient grounds to seal a filed document. See Foltz v. State Farm Mut. 10 Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1133 (9th Cir. 2003); see also Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co., 11 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

If the sole ground for a motion to seal is that the opposing party (or non-party) has designated 12 13 a document as subject to protection pursuant to the stipulated protective order, the movant must 14 notify the opposing party (or non-party) at least seven days prior to filing the designated document. 15 The designating party must then make a good faith determination if the relevant standard for sealing is met. To the extent the designating party does not believe the relevant standard for sealing can be 16 17 met, it shall indicate that the document may be filed publicly no later than four days after receiving 18 notice of the intended filing. To the extent the designating party believes the relevant standard for 19 sealing can be met, it shall provide a declaration supporting that assertion no later than four days 20 after receiving notice of the intended filing. The filing party shall then attach that declaration to its 21 motion to seal the designated material. If the designating party fails to provide such a declaration in support of the motion to seal, the filing party shall file a motion to seal so indicating and the Court 22 23 may order the document filed in the public record.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> In the event of an emergency motion, the above procedures shall not apply. Instead, the movant shall file a motion to seal and the designating party shall file a declaration in support of that motion to seal within three days of its filing. If the designating party fails to timely file such a declaration, the Court may order the document filed in the public record.

24

1	<b>IT IS ORDERED</b> that counsel shall comply with the requirements of Local Rule IA 10-5,	
2		
	the Ninth Circuit's decision in <i>Kamakana</i> , 447 F.3d 1172, and the procedures outlined above, with	
3	respect to any documents filed under seal. To the extent any aspect of the stipulated protective order	
4	may conflict with this order or Local Rule IA 10-5, that aspect of the stipulated protective order is	
5	hereby superseded with this order.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	DATED: April 5, 2017.	
8	NANCY J. KOPPE	
9	United States Magistrate Judge	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	