22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Amy M. Samberg		
2	Nevada Bar No. 10212 FORAN GLENNON PALANDECH		
3	PONZI & RUDLOFF PC 1 East Washington Street, Suite 500		
4	Phoenix, AZ 85004 Telephone: 602-777-6230		
5	Facsimile: 312-863-5099 Email: asamberg@fgppr.com		
6	Justin S. Hepworth		
7	Nevada Bar No. 10080 Casey G. Perkins		
8	Nevada Bar No. 12063 FORAN GLENNON PALANDECH PONZI & RUI	DLOFF PC	
9	2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 280 Henderson, NV 89052		
10	Telephone: 702-827-1510 Facsimile: 312-863-5099		
11	E-Mail: cperkins@fgppr.com		
12	Attorneys for Defendant Illinois National Insurance Co.		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
15	INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT-UK GROUP LIMITED,	Case No. 2:16-cv-02792-APG-NJK	
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
17	v. ILLINOIS NATIONAL INSURANCE CO.,	EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES	
18	Defendant.	(Third Request)	
19			
20	Plaintiffs International Game Technology and	IGT-UK Group Limited (collectively "IGT	
21	and Defendant Illinois National Insurance Co. ("INIC"), by and through their respective counse		

Plaintiffs International Game Technology and IGT-UK Group Limited (collectively "IGT") and Defendant Illinois National Insurance Co. ("INIC"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and request that the Court extend certain discovery deadlines by approximately sixty (60) days. This is the third request by any party to extend any discovery deadlines in this matter.

Pursuant to Local Rule 26-4, the parties state as follows:

## I. DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE

- The parties conducted the Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference.
- The parties have exchanged initial and supplementary disclosures of documents and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

lists of witnesses, including the exchange of tens of thousands of pages of documents.

- IGT propounded requests for production of documents, to which INIC has responded.
- INIC propounded requests for production of documents and interrogatories on IGT, to which IGT has responded.
- IGT propounded interrogatories and requests for admissions on INIC, to which INIC has responded.
- IGT has noticed the depositions of INIC's 30(b)(6) designee and multiple current and former INIC employees.
- IGT issued subpoenas to multiple third-parties requesting production of documents.
- IGT issued subpoenas for deposition to three former employees of INIC.
- INIC propounded requests for admission and an additional set of requests for production of documents on IGT, to which IGT has responded.
- The parties have engaged in multiple meet and confer teleconferences regarding the above discovery.

### II. **DISCOVERY TO BE COMPLETED**

- Deposition(s) of Rule 30(b)(6) designee(s) of INIC.
- Deposition(s) of current and former employees and/or representatives of INIC.
- Deposition(s) of Rule 30(b)(6) designee(s) of IGT.
- Deposition(s) of current and former employees and/or representatives of IGT.
- Deposition of the Rule 30(b)(6) designee(s) and/or officers/employees of Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc.
- Deposition of additional non-party fact witnesses.
- Disclosure of expert witnesses and rebuttal.
- Depositions of expert witnesses.

The above list is made without prejudice to the Parties' ability to conduct additional discovery or to object to such discovery consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

# III. REASONS WHY DISCOVERY CANNOT BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE **CURRENT SCHEDULE**

As the Court is aware from the parties' previous submissions and as outlined above, the parties in this case have engaged in written discovery and have attempted to resolve several discovery issues that have arisen between them. Despite those efforts, the parties have reached an impasse on several issues relating to the scope of discovery pending resolution of two motions that are now before the Court: a motion by IGT to amend its complaint to assert causes of action for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and violations of Nevada's Unfair Claims Settlement Practices Act and a motion by IGT to compel discovery of documents and deposition testimony from INIC. Absent a ruling from the Court on these pending motions, which may impact the scope and extent of permissible discovery in this action, the parties are not able to complete discovery and disclosure of expert opinions in this case under the current schedule. Consequently, the parties request that the Court extend the remaining discovery deadlines, beginning with the deadline for disclosure of expert witnesses, by approximately sixty (60) days, as outlined below.

The parties agree that this extension is not made for the purposes of delay, but to allow additional time for the court to decide the Motion for Leave and for the parties to complete fact discovery, as necessary, before disclosing experts to ensure a just adjudication of the case on the merits, and that none of them will be prejudiced by an extension.

20

///

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 ///

22 ///

23

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

26

27

28

### IV. PROPOSED SCHEDULE

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend discovery deadlines as follows:

EVENT	CURRENT DEADLINE	PROPOSED DEADLINE
Add Parties/Amend	July 13, 2017	No Extension Requested
Pleadings Designate Expert	December 11, 2017	February 9, 2018
Witness(es)	D 1 11 2017	7.1 0.4040
File Interim Status Report	December 11, 2017	February 9, 2018
Designate Rebuttal Witness(es)	January 8, 2018	March 16, 2018
Close of Discovery	February 9, 2018	April 13, 2018
File Dispositive Motions	March 12, 2018	May 11, 2018
Joint Pretrial Order	April 9, 2018	June 8, 2018
		*In the event dispositive motions
		are filed, the date for filing the
		Joint Pretrial Order shall be
		suspended until 30 days after the
		decision of the dispositive
		motions or further order of Court.

Dated: November 15th, 2017 Dated November 15th, 2017

# **BOWLER DIXON & TWITCHELL LLP**

/s/\_Kevin B. Dreher Andrew F. Dixon, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8422 3137 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

20 REED SMITH LLP

> John D. Shugrue, Esq. Kevin B. Dreher, Esq.

21

Pro Hac Vice

22 10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4000

Chicago, IL 60606-7507

Counsel for Plaintiffs International Game Technology and IGT-UK Group Limited

**ORDER** 

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 16 day of November, 2017.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

FORAN GLENNON PALANDECH

1 East Washington Street, Suite 500

7455 Arroyo Crossing, Suite 220

Counsel for Defendant Illinois

PONZI & RUDLOFF PC

/s/ Casey G. Perkins

Amy M. Samberg, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10212

Phoenix, AZ 85004

Casey G. Perkins, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 12063

National Insurance Co.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113