

1 ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 8276  
2 VATANA LAY, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 12993  
3 AKERMAN LLP  
1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330  
4 Las Vegas, NV 89144  
Telephone: (702) 634-5000  
5 Facsimile: (702) 380-8572  
Email: ariel.stern@akerman.com  
6 Email: vatana.lay@akerman.com

7 *Attorneys for Federal National Mortgage*  
8 *Association*

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

11 FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE  
12 ASSOCIATION,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 BLUE DIAMOND RANCH LANDSCAPE  
16 MAINTENANCE ASSOCIATION;  
ABSOLUTE COLLECTION SERVICES, LLC;  
and DMVH LLC;

17  
18 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:16 cv 02838-JAD-PAL

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY  
LITIGATION PENDING FINAL  
RESOLUTION OF PETITION(S) FOR  
WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO UNITED  
STATES SUPREME COURT**

ECF No. 18

19 Federal National Mortgage Association (**Fannie Mae**), Blue Diamond Ranch Landscape  
20 Maintenance Association (**Blue Diamond**), and Absolute Collection Services, LLC (**Absolute**)<sup>1</sup>  
21 (collectively the **parties**) stipulate as follows:

22 **I. Stipulation to Stay Proceedings**

23 1. This lawsuit involves claims for quiet title/declaratory relief and other claims related  
24 to a non-judicial homeowner's association foreclosure sale conducted on a property pursuant to NRS  
25 116.

26 ///

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>1</sup> DMVH has not appeared after service on it.

1           2.       On August 12, 2016, the Ninth Circuit issued its decision on appeal in *Bourne Valley*  
2 *Court Tr. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 832 F.3d 1154, 1159-60 (9th Cir. 2016) holding NRS 116 is  
3 facially unconstitutional. The Court of Appeals issued its mandate in the appeal on December 14,  
4 2016, vacating and remanding the judgment to the United States District Court for the District of  
5 Nevada.

6           3.       On January 26, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court issued its decision in *Saticoy Bay*  
7 *LLC Series 350 Durango 104 v. Wells Fargo Home Mortg., a Div. of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 133  
8 Nev. Adv. Op. 5, \_\_\_ P.3d \_\_\_, 2017 WL 398426 (Nev. Jan. 26, 2017), holding, in direct contrast to  
9 *Bourne Valley*, that no state action supported a challenge under the Due Process Clause of the United  
10 States Constitution.

11           4.       The parties in *Bourne Valley* and *Saticoy Bay* are seeking review of both decisions in  
12 the United States Supreme Court. *Bourne Valley's* deadline to file its petition for writ of certiorari of  
13 the Ninth Circuit's *Bourne Valley* decision is April 3, 2017. *See Bourne Valley Court Tr. v. Wells*  
14 *Fargo Bank, NA.*, United States Supreme Court Case No. 16A753. Wells Fargo's deadline to file its  
15 petition for writ of certiorari of the Nevada Supreme Court's *Saticoy Bay* decision is April 25, 2017.  
16 Thus, the parties believe that the stay requested herein is appropriate.

17           5.       On February 8, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court stayed the issuance of the remittitur  
18 in *Saticoy Bay* pending the filing of a petition for a writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme  
19 Court, and if a petition is filed, the stay of the remittitur will remain in effect until final disposition  
20 of the certiorari proceedings before the United States Supreme Court.

21           6.       Since then, several judges in this district have stayed similar cases pending the  
22 exhaustion of all appeals before the United States Supreme Court. *See e.g., Nationstar Mortg. LLC*  
23 *v. Green Valley S. Owners Ass'n*, No. 2:16-cv-00883-GMN-GWF, ECF No. 38 (D. Nev. Oct. 5,  
24 2016); *Bank of America, N.A. v. Canyon Willow Trop Owners' Ass'n*, No. 2:16-cv-01327-GMN-  
25 VCF, ECF No. 25 (D. Nev. Oct. 26, 2016); *Deutsche Bank Nat'l Tr. Co. v. Copper Sands HOA*, No.  
26 2:16-cv-00763-JAD-CWH, ECF No. 29 (D. Nev. Feb. 28, 2017); *Ditech Fin. Servs., LLC v.*  
27 *Highland Ranch Homeowners Ass'n*, No. 3:16-cv-00194-MMD-WGC (D. Nev. Mar. 7, 2017); *Wells*

1 *Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Las Vegas Dev. Group, LLC*, 2:16-cv-02621-RFB-NJK (D. Nev. Mar. 9, 2017).

2 7. To determine if a continued stay is appropriate, the Court considers (1) damage from  
3 the stay; (2) hardship or inequity that befalls one party more than the other; and (3) the orderly  
4 course of justice. *See Dependable Highway Exp., Inc. v. Navigators Ins. Co.*, 498 F.3d 1059, 1066  
5 (9th Cir. 2007) (setting forth factors). Here, the factors support a stay of litigation.

6 a. Damage from Stay: Any damage from a temporary stay in this case will be minimal  
7 if balanced against the potential fees, costs, and time which would surely ensue in this matter if  
8 litigation were allowed to continue that could be mooted by a decision in *Bourne Valley* certiorari  
9 proceedings. Indeed, the parties will be able to avoid the cost and expense of continued legal  
10 proceedings in light of what is unsettled law to say the least. Moreover, the Court will be relieved of  
11 expending further time and effort until the conflict between the circuit and Nevada Supreme Court is  
12 resolved. Thus, a stay will benefit all parties involved as well as the Court.

13 b. Hardship or Inequity: There will be no significant hardship or inequity that befalls  
14 one party more than the other. This relatively equal balance of equities results from the need for all  
15 parties to have finality, given the split in the state and federal court decisions. The parties agree that  
16 any hardship or inequity falling on any of them is outweighed by the benefits of a stay.

17 c. Orderly Course of Justice: At the center of this case is a homeowners' association's  
18 foreclosure sale under NRS 116. The outcome of the petitions for writ in *Bourne Valley* and/or  
19 *Saticoy Bay* have the potential to affirm or overturn either case. Without a stay, the parties will  
20 expend resources that will be unnecessary if either or both petitions are granted. A stay would also  
21 avoid a likely appeal from any subsequent judgment in this case. A temporary stay would  
22 substantially promote the orderly course of justice in this case. A stay will avoid the need for  
23 moving forward without final resolution of the federal issues and the state court/federal court  
24 conflict.

25 8. The parties agree that all proceedings in the instant case, including motion and other  
26 litigation deadlines, are stayed pending final resolution of the *Bourne Valley* and/or *Saticoy Bay*  
27 certiorari proceedings before the United States Supreme Court.

1           9.       DMVH shall be required to pay all property taxes and assessments, HOA dues, and  
2 maintain the property for the duration of the stay.

3           10.       DMVH shall be prohibited from selling or encumbering the property unless otherwise  
4 ordered by the Court.

5           11.       Fannie Mae is prohibited from conducting foreclosure proceedings on the property  
6 unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

7           12.       The parties agree that all proceedings in the instant case, including motion and other  
8 litigation deadlines, are stayed pending final resolution of the *Bourne Valley* and/or *Saticoy Bay*  
9 certiorari proceedings before the United States Supreme Court.

10 ///

11 ///

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

15 ///

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1 13. Any party may file a written motion to lift stay at any time if either party determines  
2 it appropriate.

3 DATED this 7th day of April, 2017.

4 **AKERMAN LLP**

**SHANE D. COX**

6 /s/ Vatana Lay, Esq.  
7 ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.  
8 Nevada Bar No. 8276  
9 VATANA LAY, ESQ.  
10 Nevada Bar No. 12993  
11 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330  
12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

/s/ Shane D. Cox, Esq.  
SHANE D. COX, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 13852  
8440 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 210  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

*Attorney for Absolute Collection Services, LLC*

*Attorneys for Federal National Mortgage  
Association*

11 **PENGILLY LAW FIRM**

13 /s/ Elizabeth B. Lowell, Esq.  
14 JAMES W. PENGILLY, ESQ.  
15 Nevada Bar No. 6085  
16 ELIZABETH B. LOWELL, ESQ.  
17 Nevada Bar No. 8551  
18 1995 Village Center Circle, Ste. 190  
19 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

*Attorneys for Blue Diamond Ranch Landscape  
Maintenance Association*

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23   
24 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

25 4-10-17

26 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_