2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 SHARON BARNUM, et al., 11 Case No.: 2:16-cv-02866-RFB-NJK Plaintiff(s), 12 **Order** v. 13 [Docket No. 125] EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, 14 15 Defendant(s). 16 Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' motion to seal and/or redact certain exhibits filed in conjunction with a motion to compel and for sanctions. Docket No. 125. A hearing is set for August 22, 2018. The Court does not require a hearing on the two FIS Card exhibits at issue.¹ 19 With respect to Exhibit J, FIS Card indicates that the document has already been filed publicly and sealing is not sought. Docket No. 164 at 1 n.1.² With respect to Exhibit I, that 20 compact disc includes batch reports with extensive information. FIS Card represents it maintains such information as confidential and that disclosure of that information would enable competitors to reverse engineer its proprietary information. See Docket No. 164 at 4. The Court finds such 24 25 26 ¹ The hearing will proceed as scheduled with respect to the remaining exhibits. 27 ² The publicly-filed version of this document includes redaction to Plaintiff's home addresses. Docket No. 96-32. Such redactions are proper without a court order. See Local Rule 28 IC 6-1(a)(5).

1 showing satisfies the applicable good cause standard. See, e.g., Kamakana v. City & County of 2 Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). Accordingly, the motion to seal is **DENIED** as moot with respect to Exhibit J and the motion to seal is **GRANTED** as to Exhibit I. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: August 21, 2018 Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge