KPI Bridge Oil, Inc. v. Wilmington Trust Company, et al Doc. 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

KPI BRIDGE OIL, INC., Plaintiff(s), vs. WILMINGTON TRUST COMPANY, et al., Defendant(s).	Case No. 2:16-cv-02884-JCM-NJK *EX PARTE* ORDER

Pending before the Court are Plaintiff's *ex parte* motion for maritime attachment and garnishment and *ex parte* motion for an order to appoint a special process server for the maritime attachment and garnishment. Docket Nos. 3, 5. Plaintiff has also filed a proposed order for the Court to issue if it chooses to grant the motion for maritime attachment and garnishment. Docket No. 4.

Plaintiff's *ex parte* motion for maritime attachment and garnishment asks the Court, without supporting authority, to direct the United States Marshal to execute any order for maritime attachment and garnishment. Docket No. 3 at 4. Plaintiff's *ex parte* motion to appoint a special process server, however, states that "there is no need to require that service be effected by a U.S. Marshal," and asks the Court to appoint an employee of Plaintiff's counsel's firm as special process server. Docket No. 5 at 2.

Dockets.Justia.com

Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** Plaintiff to file, no later than December 22, 2016, a clarification as to the specific relief it seeks, along with supporting authority. If Plaintiff does indeed request the appointment of a special process server, Plaintiff shall identify a specific individual to be appointed as special process server.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 21, 2016

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge