Hernandez v	/ Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. et al	Do			
1	LEONARD T. FINK, ESQ.				
2	Nevada Bar No. 6296 NAKESHA S. DUNCAN, ESQ.				
3	Nevada Bar No. 11556 SPRINGEL & FINK LLP				
4	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275				
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 804-0706				
6	Facsimile:(702) 804-0798E-Mail:lfink@springelfink.com				
7	E-Mail: lfink@springelfink.com nduncan@springelfink.com				
8	Attorneys for Defendants,				
9	SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES USA, INC.				
10	and WAL-MART STORES, INC. d/b/a WALMART SUPERCENTER #3473				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
12					
13	***				
14	EDDIE HERNANDEZ,	Case No.: 2:16-cv-02917			
15	Plaintiff,				
	vs.	STIPULATION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY			
16	WAL-MART STORES, INC. d/b/a WALMART	[THIRD REQUEST]			
17	SUPERCENTER #3473; SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES USA, INC.; UNKNOWN SECURITY	[]			
18	GUARD; DOES 1-V, inclusive; ROE BUSINESS				
19	ENTITIES I-V, inclusive,				
20	Defendants.				
21					
22	The parties, by and through their respective	, undersigned, attorneys of record, hereby submits			

this Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines, as detailed below.

including depositions.

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, this is the third stipulation for extension of time for discovery submitted

by the parties. Pursuant to LR II 26-4, the parties agree to extend the remaining discovery deadlines to

allow each party to complete the remaining, necessary discovery. As the parties wish to conduct

mediation, good cause exists to allow the parties additional time to conduct the remaining discovery,

Dockets.Justia.com

A. Di	iscovery Completed				
Th	ne following discovery has been completed:				
1.	1. Plaintiff and Defendants have exchanged FRCP 26 disclosures of witnesses and documents,				
	and supplements thereto.				
2.	Defendant SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES USA, INC. has propounded written				
	discovery upon Plaintiff.				
3.	Plaintiff has propounded written discovery upon Defendants.				
4.	4. Defendants have responded to Plaintiff's written discovery.				
5. Plaintiff has responses to Defendants' written discovery.					
6.	An independent medical examination of Plaintiff has been completed.				
7.	7. Defendants have disclosed their initial expert witness.				
B. Di	iscovery that Remains to Be Completed				
1.	Designation of Plaintiff's initial expert witnesses.				
2.	Designation of rebuttal expert witnesses.				
3.	Deposition of Plaintiff.				
4.	Deposition of Defendants' FRCP 30(b)(6) witnesses.				
5.	Depositions of Plaintiff's treating physicians, if necessary.				
6.	Depositions of experts.				
7.	Additional written discovery, if necessary.				
C. Re	eason Why Remaining Discovery Was Not Completed				
No	ow, that the majority of discovery has been completed, the parties wish to engage in mediation,				
in an attei	mpt to settle this matter. Pursuant to the parties' and their clients' schedules, they are working				
to schedu	le mediation in January 2018. This third extension will ensure that the parties have time to				
disclosure	e appropriate experts and schedule and take necessary depositions, prior to trial. It will also				
ensure that	at the parties do not waste unnecessary litigation money on expert reports and/or depositions, if				
this matte	er is able to be settled at mediation. Based on the foregoing, good cause exists to extend the				
remaining	g discovery deadlines.				
///					

	1	L
	2	2
	(.)	3
	Z	1
	5	5
	6	5
	-	7
	8	3
	ç	)
1		)
1	1	L
1	2	2
1		3
1	4	1
1		5
1		5
1	L (	5
		5 7 3
	L ( L 7 L 8	5 7 3
	L 6 L 7 L 8	5 7 3
	L 6 L 7 L 8 L 9 2 0	5 7 3 9
	L 6 L 7 L 8 L 2 C 2 1	5 7 3 9 0 1
		5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3
		573 3012 31
		5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 1 5
		5 7 3 9 1 2 3 4 5 5
		5 7 7 3 3 3 9 9 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 1 1 7 7

## **D.** Proposed Schedule

The parties propose the following extended schedule:

3	Discovery to be Completed	<b><u>Current Deadlines</u></b>	<b>Proposed Deadlines</b>			
ł	Initial expert disclosures	October 16, 2017	February 16, 201 8			
5	Rebuttal expert disclosures	November 15, 2017	March 16, 201 8			
5	Close of Discovery	December 17, 2017	April 16, 2018			
,	Dispositive motions	January 16, 2017	May 16, 2018			
3	Pre-Trial Order	February 15, 2018	June 15, 2018			
)	IT IS SO STIPULATED.					
)	DATED this <u>16th</u> day of November, 2017.	DATED this <u>16th</u> c	lay of November, 2017.			
-	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP	GAZDA & TADAYON				
3	/s/ Nakesha S. Duncan, Esq.	/s/ Lewis Gazda, Es	q.			
1 5 7	LEONARD T. FINK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6296 NAKESHA S. DUNCAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11556 10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	LEWIS GAZDA, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4269 AFSHIN TADAYON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6517 2600 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89146				
3	OF	RDER				
,	Based on the parties' stipulation [ ] and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that					
,	the remaining discovery deadlines are extended pursuant to the parties' stipulation.					
-	Dates this <u>17th</u> November, 201	17.	en Stanler C			
3		LINITED ST	ATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
Ł		UNITED STA	ATES MADISTRATE JUDDE			
5						
5	If dispositive motions are filed, the deadline					
,	for filing the joint pretrial order will be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or further court order.					
3						

{N0379479;1}