

1 right” in real property.⁵ But, because U.S. Bank fails to satisfy the Local Rules, I can award neither
2 fees nor costs at this time.

3 U.S. Bank’s request for attorneys’ fees includes only the total amount sought and an analysis of
4 the factors that the Nevada Supreme Court adopted in *Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank*⁶ for
5 evaluating the reasonableness of attorneys’ fees.⁷ Local Rule 54-14, however, requires that “[a]
6 reasonable itemization and description of the work performed” be included when attempting to recover
7 attorneys’ fees.⁸ An itemization and description of the work performed is absent from the fees
8 requested. U.S. Bank therefore fails to comply with Local Rule 54-14, and I deny its request. Local
9 Rule 54-1 similarly requires a prevailing party requesting costs to file an itemized bill of costs and
10 disbursements.⁹ Although U.S. Bank refers to a “Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements filed
11 concurrently” with this motion,¹⁰ no such memorandum was actually filed.¹¹ So, because an itemization
12 of costs is absent, I also deny the request for costs.

13 Conclusion

14 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that U.S. Bank’s motion for attorneys’ fees and
15 costs [ECF No. 17] is **denied without prejudice** to its ability to file a new motion that complies with
16 the Local Rules.

17 DATED: November 22, 2017.

18 
19 _____
20 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

21 ⁵ NEV. REV. STAT. § 18.020(1) (2015).

22 ⁶ *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat’l Bank*, 455 P.2d 31 (Nev. 1969).

23 ⁷ *Id.* at 33; ECF No. 17 at 3–9.

24 ⁸ *See* LR 54-14(b)(1).

25 ⁹ *See* LR 54-1(b).

26 ¹⁰ ECF No. 17 at 8.

27 ¹¹ *See generally* docket report, case no. 2:16-cv-02938-JAD-NJK.
28