1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fargo Bank, N.A., Clear Recon Corp. (Case No. A-16-747526-C).

- 2. On December 21, 2016, Wells Fargo removed the action to this Court.
- 3. While Wells Fargo disputes whether service was proper, out of an abundance of caution, and pursuant to FRCP 81(c), Wells Fargo's response to the Complaint may be due on Wednesday, December 28, 2016.
- 4. There are currently no scheduled hearings in this case. A short extension to file a response will not unduly delay the proceedings. There will be no adverse effect or prejudice to any of the parties if this Motion is granted.
- 5. Wells Fargo's counsel was only recently retained, and has not yet had an opportunity to review all of the documents necessary to prepare a response.
- 6. Additionally, Wells Fargo's counsel has a previously planned vacation over the holidays, and will be out of the country for the remainder of the year.
- 7. Wells Fargo has made efforts to stipulate as to an extension of time. Plaintiff has filed this action pro se, and did not list a phone number or an email address on the case cover sheet of the original action.
- 8. Counsel for Wells Fargo located a phone number for Plaintiff, and left a message on Thursday, December 15, 2016, to discuss an extension to respond. Plaintiff did not respond to the message.
- 8. On Tuesday, December 20, 2016, counsel for Wells Fargo again attempted to telephone Plaintiff to discuss an extension. An automated recording at the number indicated that Plaintiff's voicemail was full, and unable to accept new messages. Counsel for Wells Fargo was unable to make live telephone contact with Plaintiff.
- 9. Pursuant to LR 7-5(b), good cause exists as to why this Motion has been submitted to the Court without prior notice to Plaintiff. Wells Fargo attempted to discuss an extension with Plaintiff, but Wells Fargo does not have an email address or other known phone number for Plaintiff.
 - 10. Wells Fargo has not previously requested an extension of time from this Court.

	I	I	
	1	WHEREFORE, Wells Fargo respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order extending	
	2	the time for its response to the Complaint to no sooner than twenty-one (21) days from the	
	3	original due date, or to January 19, 2017.	
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Lav Vegas, Navada 89169	4		
	5	Dated: December 22, 2016	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
	6		
	7		By: /s/ Tanya N. Peters
	8		Robin E. Perkins, Esq. Tanya N. Peters, Esq.
	9		3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100
	10		Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorneys for Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
	11		(also incorrectly named as Wells Fargo Home Mortgage)
	12		
	13		<u>ORDER</u>
	14	Based on the foregoing and for go	ood cause, IT IS ORDERED THAT Wells Fargo's
	15 LS	deadline to respond to Plaintiff's complaint is extended to January 19, 2017.	
	16	deadine to respond to Plantin 's complai	nt is extended to January 19, 2017.
	17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	18		Juggy a. Jeen
	19		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DATED December 28 , 2016.
	20		DATED December, 2016.
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		