

1 BRIAN C. VANDERHOOF (10463)
 2 LECLAIRRYAN LLP
 3 725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 350
 4 Los Angeles, CA 90017
 5 Tel: 213-488-0503
 6 Email: Brian.Vanderhoof@leclairryan.com

7 DAVID V. WILSON II (10278)
 8 MEHAFFY WEBER PC
 9 400 South 4th Street, Suite 500
 10 Las Vegas, NV 89101
 11 Tel: 702-448.7981
 12 Email: DavidWilson@mehaffyweber.com

13 Attorneys for Defendant
 14 CIT BANK, N.A.

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 16 **STATE OF NEVADA**

17 STEVEN G. PINCHUK,
 18
 19 Plaintiff,

20 vs.

21 CIT BANK, N.A.; and EQUIFAX, INC.,
 22
 23 Defendants.

Case No: 2:16-cv-02986-RFB-GWF

Hon. Richard F. Boulware

**MOTION TO CONTINUE TIME
 TO FILE THE PROPOSED
 PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
 ORDER (Second Request)**

24 Defendant CIT Bank, N.A. ("CIT"), through its attorney Brian C.
 25 Vanderhoof, Esq. with the law firm of LeClairRyan, hereby requests, under Local
 26 Rule IA 6-1 and Judge Boulware's Court Rules, that the Court extend the time for
 27 the parties to file their Proposed Pretrial Order by an additional 28 days. This is the
 28 second request.

1 On September 20, 2018, the Court heard and decided CIT's Motion for
2 Summary Judgment. After granting the motion in part and following the lengthy
3 hearing, the Court Ordered the parties to file their proposed pretrial order within 30
4 days. On October 18, 2018, CIT made its first timely request for a brief extension
5 of that deadline because it had then not heard from the plaintiff, Steven Pinchuk
6 ("Plaintiff"). The court granted the request and set November 12, 2018 as the
7 deadline to file the proposed pretrial conference order.

8 At 3:31 p.m. on Friday, November 2, 2018, Plaintiff emailed a draft joint
9 pretrial order to CIT. The draft joint pretrial order appeared to have been hastily put
10 together insofar as it identified more than 1,200 pages of trial exhibits for what was
11 anticipated to be a one to two day trial. The transmittal email also explained, in
12 essence, that that Plaintiff's counsel, a small firm, had lost some of its staff and
13 associate help this past month creating difficulties. (See Exhibit A.) As of this
14 writing, counsel have been unable to conduct the mandatory Rule 16-3 conference
15 to discuss settlement or the fact and exhibit stipulations. It appears unlikely that
16 this meeting will occur in time to meet the current filing deadline given that
17 Defense counsel has not been able to connect with Plaintiff's counsel save for the
18 attached transmittal.

19 Good cause exists for the relief requested herein as this request is not made
20 for purpose of delay or any other improper purpose. Among other reasons, good
21 cause exists because the proposed pretrial order is currently due on November 12,
22 2018 and, while Plaintiff has recently delivered a first rough draft of the proposed
23 joint pretrial order, there is insufficient time for CIT to meaningfully weigh in on
24 the papers following the required meeting of counsel. Moreover, it appears that the
25 Local Rule 16-3 meetings are not likely to occur in the next two business days
26 leaving CIT with no opportunity to meaningfully discuss the issues or settlement.
27 Moreover, even if the parties were able to commence the Local Rule 16-3 meetings
28 this week there will be insufficient time to allow CIT representatives to

1 meaningfully offer input into the required filing. Such a result would be unduly
2 prejudicial to CIT because the document will shape the direction of the trial.
3 Furthermore, because the trial date has not been set there will be no prejudice to
4 any party by this brief request to continue the filing deadline. Indeed, a well
5 prepared proposed pretrial order will help the parties streamline the trial which will
6 benefit not only the parties, but more importantly the Court and the jurors.

7 Plaintiff's counsel has not responded to either the telephonic or email request
8 to stipulate to the relief requested by this motion. As a result, it is unclear whether
9 Plaintiff opposes the requested relief. At a minimum, Plaintiff has not articulated
10 any basis by which she may be prejudiced from the proposed continuance and, in
11 fact, will likely benefit from a continuance given that Plaintiff does not appear to be
12 in a position to timely file the proposed pretrial order that is due in three court days.

13 For the foregoing reasons, CIT respectfully requests that the Court grant the
14 present motion and enter an order continuing the time to file the proposed pretrial
15 order from November 12, 2018 to December 12, 2018.

16 DATED: November 8, 2018 LECLAIRRYAN, LLP

17 By: /s/ Brian C. Vanderhoof
18 BRIAN C. VANDERHOOF, ESQ. (10463)
19 725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 350
20 Los Angeles, CA 90017
21 Tel: (213) 488-0503
22 Email: Brian.Vanderhoof@leclairryan.com

23 **ORDER**

24 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the parties shall file their joint proposed
25 pretrial order on or before December 12, 2018.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED:



27 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II.
28 United States District Judge

Dated: November 9, 2018

EXHIBIT A

Anchante, Graciela

From: Vernon Nelson <vnelson@nelsonlawfirmnv.com>
Sent: Friday, November 02, 2018 3:31 PM
To: Vanderhoof, Brian C.
Subject: RE: Pinchuk v. CIT
Attachments: Pinchuk Pretrial Order Draft JG.DOC

Importance: High

Hi Brian- Hope you are well. I am very sorry for the delay. We have been short-handed and at the beginning of the month an Associate and our best secretary were recruited away by one of the big firms in town...so I have had to cover a lot on my own. Not a lot of sleep either.

Thankfully, I recently hired 2 Associates and they are helping me catch up. One of the Associates help put together the draft attached. I have not had a chance to review...so I may have some changes...but it is definitely good enough to get to you so you can do your part.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks

Vernon Nelson
The Law Office of Vernon Nelson
9480 S. Eastern Ave., **Suite 252**
Las Vegas, NV 89123
702-476-2500 (Office)
702-476-3735 (Direct)
702-476-2788 (Fax)
702-525-7884 (Cell)
vnelson@nelsonlawfirmnv.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission (and/or the attachments accompanying it) may contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is protected by the attorney-client privilege. The information is intended only for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited. Any unauthorized interception of this transmission is illegal. If you have received this transmission in error, please promptly notify the sender by reply e-mail, and then destroy all copies of the transmission.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 NOTICE: To ensure compliance with recently enacted U.S. Treasury Department regulations, we hereby advise you that, unless otherwise expressly stated, any and all tax advice contained in this communication has neither been written nor intended by the sender or this firm for the use of any taxpayer for the purpose of evading or avoiding tax penalties that may be imposed pursuant to U.S. law. Furthermore, unless otherwise expressly indicated, the use of any tax advice contained in this communication has neither been written nor intended by the sender or this firm for the purpose of promoting, marketing, or recommending a partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement to any taxpayer, and such taxpayer should seek advice on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor.

From: Vanderhoof, Brian C. <Brian.Vanderhoof@leclairryan.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 4:38 PM
To: Vernon Nelson <vnelson@nelsonlawfirmnv.com>
Subject: Pinchuk v. CIT

Vernon,

I left a message at your office just now. We have a joint pretrial report due fairly soon and I have not received your proposed draft. Rather than scramble to put it together at the last minute, let me know whether you will stipulate to a two week extension to file. This will also give us a chance to determine whether this matter is suitable for settlement or whether we will actually need to try the case.

Thanks,
Brian

Brian C. Vanderhoof
Attorney at Law

LECLAIRRYAN

725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 350

Los Angeles, California 90017

(213) 337-3247 Direct

(213) 624-3755 Fax

(626) 533-6066 Mobile

Brian.Vanderhoof@leclairryan.com

<https://www.leclairryan.com>

LeClairRyan, LLP is a Delaware Limited Liability Partnership

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

* This e-mail may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail with a copy to emailadministrator@leclairryan.com and delete this e-mail and all copies and attachments.