

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

RICHARD MADRID,	)	Case No. 2:16-cv-02995-APG-NJK
	)	
Plaintiff(s),	)	ORDER
	)	
vs.	)	(Docket No. 6)
	)	
METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendant(s).	)	

On December 27, 2016, Plaintiff filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, along with a complaint. Docket No. 1. On January 9, 2017, the Court granted Plaintiff’s application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, but dismissed his complaint with leave to amend for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Docket No. 4. In inviting Plaintiff to submit an amended complaint, the Court explicitly advised him that “the Court cannot refer to a prior pleading (i.e., his original Complaint) in order to make the Amended Complaint complete.” *Id.* at 4. The Court further advised Plaintiff that if he submitted an amended complaint, “each claim and each defendant must be sufficiently alleged.” *Id.* Finally, the Court warned that failure to comply with the order would result in the recommended dismissal of Plaintiff’s case. *Id.*

Plaintiff has now filed what he identifies as a response to the Court’s notice at Docket No. 3. Docket No. 6. Plaintiff states that “[t]he information provided in this mailing contains attachments labeled A, B, & C which, along with other information in addition to the original

1 complaint responds to the Court's request." *Id.* at 1. The Court construes this filing as a response  
2 to its screening order at Docket No. 4, as Docket No. 3 is simply a notice advising Plaintiff that his  
3 original complaint was received. *See* Docket No. 3 ("Please be advised that the papers you recently  
4 sent the court have been received . . . Your case has been submitted for review and action by a  
5 judicial officer").

6 The Court therefore extends the time for Plaintiff to file an amended complaint that complies  
7 with the Court's order at Docket No. 4, until March 9, 2017. Failure to comply will result in a  
8 recommendation of dismissal of this action without prejudice. The Court **INSTRUCTS** the Clerk's  
9 Office to mail Plaintiff a second copy of its order at Docket No. 4 to assist Plaintiff in complying  
10 with its requirements.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: February 7, 2017

13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
15 NANCY J. KOPPE  
16 United States Magistrate Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27