Doc. 4

failure to comply with court order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with local rules).

In determining whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules, the court must consider several factors: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives. *Thompson*, 782 F.2d at 831; *Henderson*, 779 F.2d at 1423-24; *Malone*, 833 F.2d at 130; *Ferdik*, 963 F.2d at 1260-61; *Ghazali*, 46 F.3d at 53.

In the instant case, the court finds that the first two factors, the public's interest in expeditiously resolving this litigation and the court's interest in managing the docket, weigh in favor of dismissal. The third factor, risk of prejudice to defendants, also weighs in favor of dismissal, since a presumption of injury arises from the occurrence of unreasonable delay in filing a pleading ordered by the court or prosecuting an action. See Anderson v. Air West, 542 F.2d 522, 524 (9th Cir. 1976). The fourth factor – public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits – is greatly outweighed by the factors in favor of dismissal discussed herein. Finally, a court's warning to a party that his failure to obey the court's order will result in dismissal satisfies the "consideration of alternatives" requirement. Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1262; Malone, 833 F.2d at 132-33; Henderson, 779 F.2d at 1424. The court's order requiring plaintiff to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the full filing fee within thirty (30) days expressly stated: "IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if [p]laintiff does not timely comply with this order, dismissal of this action may result." (ECF No. 3 at 2). Thus, plaintiff had adequate warning that dismissal would result from his noncompliance with the court's order to file an application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the full filing fee within thirty (30) days.

It is therefore ordered that this action is dismissed without prejudice based on plaintiff's failure to file an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay the full filing fee in compliance with this court's January 5, 2017, order.

1	It is further ordered that the clerk of court shall enter judgment accordingly.
2	
3	DATED: February 16, 2017.
4	
5	United States District Judge
6	Sinted States Biother stage
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	