

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3 Case No.: 2:17-cv-00037-JAD-PAL

4 Lazaar Tyrone Brazier,
5 Plaintiff

6 v.

7 Nicholas Brigrandi, et al.,
8 Defendants

**Order Adopting
Report & Recommendation
and Closing Case**

[ECF No. 12]

9
10
11 Pro se plaintiff Lazaar Tyrone Brazier is a prisoner in the custody of the Nevada
12 Department of Corrections. He brings this civil-rights action to redress what he claims was
13 retaliation against him by officers of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.¹
14 Magistrate Judge Peggy Leen screened Brazier's second amended complaint, found that he fails
15 to state any plausible claim for relief, and gave him until March 1, 2019, to file an amended
16 complaint if he can cure the deficiencies in his claims.² The screening order warned Brazier that
17 his failure to submit a proper third amended complaint by that deadline would "result in a
18 recommendation to the district judge that this case be dismissed."³

19 Brazier did not file a third amended complaint or seek an extension of the deadline to do
20 so. Plus, the screening order was returned as undeliverable, which means that Brazier has not
21 kept the court apprised of his current address as Local Rule IA 3-1 requires him to. So, the
22 magistrate judge recommends that I dismiss this case unless Brazier files a third amended
23 complaint by April 5, 2019, and updates his address with the court. The April 5, 2019, deadline

24
25 _____
26 ¹ ECF No. 10 (Screening Order).

27 ² *Id.*

28 ³ *Id.* at 17.

1 has passed, and Brazier has not taken this necessary action. “[N]o review is required of a
2 magistrate judge’s report and recommendation unless objections are filed.”⁴ Having reviewed
3 the R&R, I find good cause to adopt it, and I do.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge’s Report and
5 Recommendation [ECF No. 13] is **ADOPTED** in its entirety. **This action is dismissed without**
6 **prejudice** for failing to comply with the court’s orders and rules. **The Clerk of Court is**
7 **directed to ENTER JUDGMENT ACCORDINGLY and CLOSE THIS CASE**

8 Dated: April 8, 2019

9 
10 _____
11 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 _____
27 ⁴ *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474
28 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).