

1 WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP
 2 Dana Jonathon Nitz, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 0050
 3 Yanxiong Li, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 12807
 4 7785 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 200
 Las Vegas, NV 89117
 (702) 475-7964; Fax: (702) 946-1345
yli@wrightlegal.net

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for EquiFirst
 Mortgage Loan Trust 2004-3, Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2004-3

6
 7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

9 DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST
 COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE FOR EQUIFIRST
 MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-3, ASSET-
 10 BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-3,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL I, LLC;
 EXECUTIVE ESTATES HOMEOWNERS'
 14 ASSOCIATION, INC.; NEVADA
 ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC.,

15 Defendant.

Case No.: 2:17-cv-00043-JAD-NJK

16
 17 **STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO**
FILE PLAINTIFF'S REPLIES IN
SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

[SECOND REQUEST]

ORDER

18 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL I, LLC,
 19 Counter/Cross Claimant,

20 vs.

21 DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST
 COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE FOR EQUIFIRST
 MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-3, ASSET-
 22 BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2004-3;
 DAVID G. RISDON, an individual, HILARY
 23 L. RISDON, an individual,

24 Counter/Cross Defendants.

25
 26 Plaintiff DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE FOR
 27 EQUIFIRST MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2004-3, ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES,
 28 SERIES 2004-3 ("Plaintiff"), by and through its attorneys Dana Jonathon Nitz, Esq. and

1 Yanxiong Li, Esq. of the law firm of Wright Finlay & Zak, LLP; Defendant, SFR
2 INVESTMENTS POOL I, LLC (“SFR”) by and through its attorney, Diana C. Ebron, Esq. of the
3 law firm of Kim Gilbert Ebron; and Defendant, EXECUTIVE ESTATES HOMEOWNERS’
4 ASSOCIATION, INC. (“HOA”) by and through its attorney, Matthew Sarnoski, Esq. of the law
5 firm of Dennett & Winspear, jointly submits this request for an extension of time, **up to and**
6 **including October 23, 2017**, for Plaintiff to file its (1) Reply to SFR’s opposition to Motion for
7 Summary Judgment and HOA’s Joinder thereto [ECF No. 43 and 45]; (2) Opposition to SFR’s
8 Countermotion for 56(d) Relief and HOA’s Joinder thereto [ECF No. 44 and 46]; and (3) Reply
9 to HOA’s opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 42]:

10 **STIPULATION**

- 11 1. Plaintiff filed its First Amended Complaint in this action on March 9, 2017 [ECF
12 No. 6].
- 13 2. Plaintiff filed its Motion for Summary Judgment (“MSJ”) on September 11, 2017
14 (“Motion”) [ECF No. 41].
- 15 3. On October 2, 2017, Defendant, Executive Estates Homeowners’ Association,
16 Inc. (“HOA”) filed an opposition to Plaintiff’s MSJ [ECF No. 42].
- 17 4. On October 2, 2017, Defendant, SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC (“SFR”) also filed
18 an opposition to Plaintiff’s MSJ [ECF No. 43] and a Countermotion for 56(d) Relief
19 (“Countermotion”) [ECF No. 44].
- 20 5. Plaintiff’s Replies (to the above oppositions filed by SFR and HOA) in support of
21 its MSJ and Opposition to SFR’s Countermotion was originally due on October 16, 2017.
- 22 6. As a result of technical issues with computer servers that prevent Plaintiff’s
23 counsel from accessing the draft Replies and Opposition briefs for filing, on October 16, 2017,
24 Plaintiff’s counsel reached out and obtained consent from both counsels for SFR and for HOA to
25 allow Plaintiff up to and including October 17, 2017 to file its briefs.
- 26 7. A Stipulation was filed on October 16, 2017 as to parties’ first request for
27 extension, which this Court granted on October 17, 2017 [ECF No. 48].

28

1 8. Contrary to belief of Plaintiff's counsel, the technical issues persisted and remain
2 unresolved at the time the undersigned parties entered into this Stipulation.

3 9. Therefore, the undersigned parties agree that Plaintiff may have a further
4 extension up to and including October 23, 2017 to file its (1) Reply to SFR's opposition to
5 Motion for Summary Judgment and HOA's Joinder thereto [ECF No. 43 and 45]; (2) Opposition
6 to SFR's Countermotion for 56(d) Relief and HOA's Joinder thereto [ECF No. 44 and 46]; and
7 (3) Reply to HOA's opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 42].

8 ///

9 ///

10 ///

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

