

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ME2 PRODUCTIONS, INC., )  
 )  
 Plaintiff(s), )  
 )  
 vs. )  
 )  
 DOES 1-23, )  
 )  
 Defendant(s). )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )

Case No. 2:17-cv-00114-APG-NJK  
**ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
FOR DISCOVERY**  
  
(Docket No. 4)

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff’s motion for leave to take limited discovery. Docket No. 4. In particular, Plaintiff submits that it is suing each of the Defendants for using the Internet and BitTorrent to commit direct and contributory copyright infringement and, since the alleged infringement occurred over the Internet, Plaintiff knows the Defendants only by their Internet Protocol (“IP”) addresses. *Id.* at 2. Plaintiff therefore seeks an order allowing it to subpoena the Doe Defendants’ Internet Service Provider(s) (“ISP”) for the true name, address, telephone number, e-mail address, and Media Access Control address of each Doe Defendant in order to identify these defendants 1-23. *Id.* at 6.

The Ninth Circuit has held that, where the identity of defendants is unknown prior to the filing of a complaint, the plaintiff should be given an opportunity through discovery to identify the unknown defendant, unless it is clear that discovery would not uncover the identities of the defendants, or that the complaint would be dismissed on other grounds. *See Wakefield v. Thompson*, 177 F.3d 1160, 1163 (9th Cir. 1999) (citing *Gillespie v. Civiletti*, 629 F.2d 637, 642 (9th Cir. 1980)).

