

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MYESHA PRATHER,)
Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:17-cv-00183-GMN-VCF
vs.)
HCA FAR WEST DIVISION, *et al.*,)
Defendants.)

)

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach, (ECF No. 3), which recommends that the Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed with leave to amend.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

111

111

1 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. Further, the
2 Plaintiff has already complied with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation by
3 filing an Amended Complaint (ECF No. 5).

4 Accordingly,

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 2), is
6 **ADOPTED** in full.


7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 4) is **DISMISSED**
8 with leave to amend.

9

10 **DATED** this 15 day of February, 2017.

11

12

13 
Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
United States District Court

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25