1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 2 3 WEBGISTIX CORPORATION, 4 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:17-cv-00218 5 **CONSENT ORDER ON PLAINTIFF** VS. WEBGISTIX CORPORATION AND JOSEPH DISORBO, KELLY KELLY MATZENBACHER'S JOINT 6 MATZENBACHER, and TREND NATION, **STIPULATION** 7 LLC, 8 Defendants. 9 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS: 10 Plaintiff, Webgistix Corporation, d/b/a Rakuten Super Logistics, Incorporated ("RSL"), 11 and Defendant Kelly Matzenbacher, together acting through their below counsel, have conferred 12 as to the matters set forth in RSL's Complaint and Motion for an Emergency Temporary 13 Restraining Order filed with this Court on January 26, 2017. Pursuant to these conversations, and 14 subject to further Order of the Court, Matzenbacher agrees to be bound by the following terms 15 and conditions, without the need for a motion for preliminary injunction by RSL: 16 1. Matzenbacher is enjoined from accessing RSL's computer systems and networks; 17 2. Pending final resolution of this matter, Matzenbacher is enjoined from using 18 RSL's confidential and proprietary data and information which is in Matzenbacher's possession, 19 custody, or control for any purpose, including soliciting RSL's customers; 20 3. Pending final resolution of this matter, Matzenbacher is enjoined from using, 21 disclosing, or infringing upon in any way RSL's confidential and proprietary data and 22 information or trade secrets which are in Matzenbacher's possession, custody, or control; 23 4. Matzenbacher is enjoined from altering, deleting, and/or copying any information 24 or data maintained in hard copy or electronically which is currently in Matzenbacher's 25 possession, custody, or control and in any way relates to RSL's Company Confidential 26 Information (as defined in the Confidentiality Agreement attached to RSL's Complaint) and/or 27 trade secrets, as well as Defendants and their agents' access or attempts to access RSL's computer 28

systems following RSL's termination of Joseph DiSorbo and Matzenbacher, including, but not limited to, information or data contained in any of Defendants' email accounts or on their computers or devices where data may be stored (including, in the case of DiSorbo and Matzenbacher, any computer provided to them by Trend Nation) (collectively, "Defendants' electronic devices"); and

- 5. Matzenbacher will preserve any and all information or data maintained in hard copy or electronically which is currently in Matzenbacher's possession, custody, or control and in any way relates to RSL's Company Confidential Information (as defined in the Confidentiality Agreement attached to RSL's Complaint) and/or trade secrets, as well as Defendants' access or attempts to access RSL's computer systems following RSL's termination of DiSorbo and Matzenbacher, including, but not limited to, information or data contained in any of Defendants' email accounts or on their computers or devices where data may be stored, including the computer provided to Matzenbacher by Trend Nation.
- 6. Within ten (10) Court days following the issuance of this Order, Matzenbacher shall identify all digital devices in his possession, custody, or control that were used by him or DiSorbo and that may contain data relevant to the allegations set forth in the Complaint;
- 7. Within five (5) Court days following the identification of such devices and email accounts, the parties shall confer to agree upon the naming of a neutral, third-party computer forensic examiner ("the Computer Examiner") who will serve as an Officer of the Court and will agree in writing to be bound by the Protective Order that will be sought in this case. To the extent the Computer Examiner has direct or indirect access to information protected by the attorney-client privilege, such "disclosure" will not result in a waiver of the attorney-client privilege;
- 8. As soon thereafter as is reasonably practicable, the parties shall agree on a day and time for the Computer Examiner to inspect Matzenbacher's electronic devices and to make a forensic copy of Matzenbacher's electronic devices (a "mirror image") for inspection only in the presence of Matzenbacher and his attorneys. The Computer Examiner will then perform his/her forensic analysis of the "mirror image" utilizing search terms and other information provided jointly by the parties, but otherwise without interference from either party. The Computer

Examiner may use any and all reasonable search techniques to recover documents and document fragments (including e-mail) that are relevant to the claims or defenses of any party in this case or to the subject matter of this lawsuit, or appear reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence. The Computer Examiner will be required to disclose the search protocol and search terms that he/she uses to analyze the hard drive. In this manner, the Computer Examiner's work easily can be replicated, if necessary, and evaluated;

- 9. After the Computer Examiner completes his or her analysis of the "mirror image", it will be returned to Matzenbacher's counsel along with a Report consisting of (a) a printed copy of all documents, e-mail and document or e-mail fragments that the Expert recovers; (b) a printed list of available date and time information, including when documents were last accessed, last written, created, or modified; and (c) an electronic copy of the same information;
- 10. Matzenbacher's counsel will then review the recovered documents and produce to RSL all non-privileged documents that are responsive to RSL's forthcoming written discovery requests, and which are relevant to the subject matter of this litigation within twenty (20) Court days following receipt of the Expert's report or within thirty (30) days following service of RSL's Request for the Production of Documents and Electronically Stored Information, whichever is later. All documents that are withheld on a claim of privilege will be recorded in a privilege log that will be produced to RSL as soon as practicable;
- 11. Matzenbacher's counsel will be the sole custodian of and shall retain the "mirror image" and copies of all documents retrieved from Matzenbacher's electronic devices throughout the course of this litigation. To the extent that documents cannot be retrieved from Matzenbacher's electronic devices or the documents retrieved are less than the whole of data contained therein, the Computer Examiner shall submit a Declaration to the Court together with his/her written report explaining the limits of retrieval achieved;
- 12. The parties agree and acknowledge that this Joint Stipulation is not to be construed as an admission by Matzenbacher of any violation of any federal, state or local statute, ordinance or regulation, constitutional right, public policy, common law duty or contractual obligation.

 Matzenbacher specifically denies that he engaged in any wrongdoing concerning RSL.

1	13. The parties each have the right to move for dissolution or modification of restraints	
2	imposed by this Joint Stipulation upon ten (10) days' written notice to the other party.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	R
5		RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
6	Date: February 23, 2017.	United States District Judge
7		
8	/s/ Kenneth M. Kliebard Kenneth M. Kliebard (Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	/s/ Jeffrey F. Barr Jeffrey F. Barr (Nevada Bar: 7269)
9	Ashley A. Powers (Admitted pro hac vice) MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	ASHCRAFT & BARR LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1130
10	77 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601-5094	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 barrj@ashcraftbarr.com
11	kenneth.kliebard@morganlewis.com ashley.powers@morganlewis.com	Tel: (702) 631-7555 Fax: (702) 631-7556
12	Tel: (312) 324-1000 Fax: (312) 324-1001	Attorney for Defendant Kelly Matzenbacher
13	Christopher J. Banks (<i>pro hac vice</i> pending)	
14	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower	
15	San Francisco, CA 94105-1596 christopher.banks@morganlewis.com	
16	Tel: (415) 442-1001 Fax: (415) 442-1001	
17	Samuel S. Lionel	
18	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 1400	
19	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 slionel@fclaw.com	
20	Tel: (702) 791-8251 Fax: (702) 471-7070	
21 22	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Webgistix Corporation	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		