	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Abran E. Vigil, Esq. Nevada Bar. No. 7548 Maria A. Gall, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14200 Lindsay C. Demaree, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11949 Kyle A. Ewing, Esq. Nevada Bar. No. 14051 BALLARD SPAHR LLP 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Telephone: (702) 471-7000 Facsimile: (702) 471-7070 vigila@ballardspahr.com gallm@ballardspahr.com demareel@ballardspahr.com ewingk@ballardspahr.com			
	10	Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.			
	11				
	95 12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
LLP	BALLARD SPAHR LLP 100 NORTH CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1750 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106 (702) 471-7000 FAX (702) 471-7070 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
3D SPAHR		JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., a	Case No. 2:17-CV-00324-GMN-PAL		
ALLAI	H CITY S VEGA 1 471-700	national banking association,			
BALLAI		Plaintiff, vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		
BALLAI	100 NORTH CITY 100 NORTH CITY 100 NORTH CITY 1700 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER		
BALLAI	18	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE WILLOWS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		
ВАПТА	18 19	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE WILLOWS HOMEOWNERS'	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		
BALLAI	18 19 20	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE WILLOWS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; DANIEL A. RICHARD, an	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		
BALLAI	18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE WILLOWS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; DANIEL A. RICHARD, an individual,	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		
BALLAI	18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE WILLOWS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; DANIEL A. RICHARD, an individual,	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		
Ballai	18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE WILLOWS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; DANIEL A. RICHARD, an individual,	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		
Ballai	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE WILLOWS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; DANIEL A. RICHARD, an individual,	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		
Ballai	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE WILLOWS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; DANIEL A. RICHARD, an individual,	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		
Ballai	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; THE WILLOWS HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; DANIEL A. RICHARD, an individual,	EXTEND SCHEDULING ORDER DEADLINES BY 30 DAYS		

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant,

vs.

0.00-1.4 (20.2) 14

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.; DANIEL A. RICHARD, an individual,

Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

Pursuant to LR IA 6-1 and LR 26-4, Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase"), Defendant/Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("SFR"), and The Willows Homeowners Association ("Willows"), by and through their respective counsel of record, stipulate and request that this Court extend discovery and dispositive motion deadlines in the above-captioned case for 30 days, to permit the parties to efficiently complete party depositions and outstanding written discovery. The parties have conferred and agree that this brief extension is the most reasonable, most economical, and least burdensome way to complete discovery in this case.

This is the parties' first request for an extension to the scheduling order deadlines, which were submitted in compliance with LR 26-1. The parties make this request in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

I. <u>Discovery Completed to Date</u>

To date, Chase has served the following discovery: initial disclosures; initial expert disclosure; requests for production to SFR; interrogatories to SFR; notice of Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of SFR; requests for production to Willows; interrogatories to Willows; notice of Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of Willows; subpoena to produce documents on non-party Absolute Collection Services, LLC; and subpoena to testify at a deposition on non-party Absolute Collection Services, LLC.

To date, SFR has served the following discovery: initial disclosures; requests for production to Chase; interrogatories to Chase; requests for admission to Chase;

and notice of Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of Chase.

To date Willows has served the following discovery: requests for production to Chase; interrogatories to Chase; and requests for admission to Chase.

B. Specific Description of Discovery that Remains to be Completed

The parties are awaiting responses to the served discovery requests. In addition, they are working to schedule party and non-party depositions. Chase has scheduled the deposition of SFR for December 4, 2017, of Willows for December 4, 2017, and of Absolute Collection Services, LLC for November 22, 2017. SFR has also noticed a Rule 30(b)(6) of Chase. As discussed below, however, the parties seek to schedule Chase's deposition to occur after the current discovery cutoff of December 4, 2017.

C. Good Cause Exists for the Requested Extension

Good cause exists for the requested extension, as it will provide time for the parties to complete written discovery and schedule depositions in a way that minimizes burden and increases efficiency. SFR has served voluminous written discovery requests on Chase. While Chase requires additional time to respond to SFR's discovery, Chase's current response deadline December 4, 2017—*i.e.*, the last day of the discovery period. SFR is willing to provide Chase with a two-week extension for its responses, but it cannot do so unless discovery is extended.

Additionally, SFR has noticed Chase's deposition for November 29, 2017, but Chase's Rule 30(b)(6) designee is unavailable on this date because the designee will be testifying in five other depositions in similar lawsuits involving Chase and SFR, on not only November 29 but also on November 28 and 30. The parties have met and conferred about rescheduling the deposition in this lawsuit to take place during December 12-14, 2017, when the Chase designee will be available and in Las Vegas.

¹ The parties further reserve their rights to meet and confer and, if necessary, engage in motion practice regarding any discovery issues that may arise.

100 NORTH CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1750 BALLARD SPAHR LLP

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This approach will significantly minimize the cost and burden to the witness. Moreover, scheduling the Chase deposition during this time period will also allow SFR to obtain Chase's written discovery responses before deposing Chase, a logical process that will enable SFR to conduct an efficient, productive, and targeted deposition. SFR anticipates that it will be able to significantly limit the scope of the deposition based on the responses to its written discovery.

Finally, this is the parties' first request to extend the standard, 180-day discovery period in this case, and they seek only a brief 30-day extension. parties have diligently engaged in discovery to date and seek this extension in good faith.

Proposed Discovery Deadlines D.

The parties request an order extending the close of discovery, the deadline to file dispositive motions, and the deadline to file a pre-trial order by 30 days.

Event	Current Deadline ²	New Deadline
Close of Discovery	December 4, 2017	January 4, 2018
Dispositive Motions	January 3, 2018	February 5, 2018
Pre-Trial Order	February 2, 2018	March 2, 2018

[continued on next page]

² See Scheduling Order, ECF No. 30.

1	This extension is reasonable and necessary given the good cause set forth above.		
2	2 IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
3	Dated: November 13, 2017		
4	BALLARD SPAHR LLP	KIM GILBERT EBRON	
5 6 7 8 9	By: /s/ Maria A. Gall Abran E. Vigil, Esq. Nevada Bar. No. 7548 Maria A. Gall, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 14200 Lindsay C. Demaree, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11949 Kyle A. Ewing, Esq. Nevada Bar. No. 14051 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1750 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106	By: /s/ Diana S. Ebron Diana S. Ebron, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10580 Jacqueline A. Gilbert, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10593 Karen L. Hanks, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9578 7625 Dean Martin Dr., Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139	
11 05 12	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter- Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	Attorneys for Defendant/Counter- Claimant/Cross-Claimant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC	
AY, SUITI AA 89106 1471-7070	LEACH JOHNSON SONG & GRUCHOW		
100 NORTH CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1750 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106 (702) 471-7000 FAX (702) 471-7070 18	By: /s/ T. Chase Pittsenbarger Sean L. Anderson, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7259 T. Chase Pittsenbarger, Esq. Nevada Bar. No. 13740 8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148		
19 20	Attorneys for Defendant The Willows' Homeowners Association		
21			
22	$\underline{\text{ORDER}}$		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
24	II IS SO ONDERED.		
25	TINITED WACTORDATE HIDGE		
26	UNITED	STATES MAGISTRATE SUDGE	
27	DATED:	November 28, 2017	

28

BALLARD SPAHR LLP