

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:17-cv-00326-JCM-NJK
ORDER
(Docket No. 61)

Pending before the Court is a stipulation to stay discovery pending resolution of two motions to dismiss. Docket No. 61. The parties indicate that it is in the interest of efficiency to stay discovery. *See id.* at 2 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 1). The parties fail to explain, however, how a stay of discovery would be efficient at this stage given that discovery is set to close in less than a month. *See* Docket No. 30 (scheduling order entered on August 1, 2017, setting discovery cutoff of December 11, 2017). Accordingly, the stipulation is **DENIED** without prejudice to a renewed request to stay discovery explaining why a stay is appropriate at this late juncture. Any such request must also identify with particularity the discovery conducted to date. *Cf.* Local Rule 26-4(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED: November 17, 2017



NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge