

BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY
8984 SPANISH RIDGE AVENUE
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89148-1302
702.562.8820

1 JOHN R. BAILEY
Nevada Bar No. 0137
2 DENNIS L. KENNEDY
Nevada Bar No. 1462
3 JOSHUA P. GILMORE
Nevada Bar No. 11576
4 PAUL C. WILLIAMS
Nevada Bar No. 12524
5 STEPHANIE J. GLANTZ
Nevada Bar No. 14878
6 **BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY**
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302
Telephone: 702.562.8820
8 Facsimile: 702.562.8821
JBailey@BaileyKennedy.com
9 DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com
JGilmore@BaileyKennedy.com
10 PWilliams@BaileyKennedy.com
SGlantz@BaileyKennedy.com

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendants*
12 *TPOV Enterprises, LLC, TPOV Enterprises 16, LLC,*
13 *and Rowen Seibel*

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

15 TPOV ENTERPRISES 16, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 PARIS LAS VEGAS OPERATING COMPANY,
LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

19 Defendant.

20
21 PARIS LAS VEGAS OPERATING COMPANY,
LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

22 Counterclaimant,

23 vs.

24 TPOV ENTERPRISES, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company, TPOV ENTERPRISES 16,
25 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,
ROWEN SEIBEL, an individual,

26 Counterdefendants.
27

Case No. 2:17-cv-00346-JCM-VCF

**STIPULATION AND ORDER STAYING
BRIEFING ON PLAINTIFF/
COUNTERDEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES
[ECF No. 224]**

(FIRST REQUEST)

28

1 Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant TPOV Enterprises 16, LLC (“TPOV
2 16”); Counterdefendant TPOV Enterprises, LLC (“TPOV”); Counterdefendant Rowen Seibel
3 (“Seibel”) (collectively, “Seibel and the TPOV Entities”); and Defendant/Counterclaimant Paris
4 Las Vegas Operating Company, LLC (“Paris”) (collectively, the “Parties”) stipulate and agree as
5 follows:

6 1. On May 29, 2020, Seibel and the TPOV Entities filed a Motion to Extend Discovery
7 Deadlines (the “Motion to Extend”). (ECF No. 224.)

8 2. Currently, the deadline to file a response to the Motion to Extend is June 12, 2020.

9 3. On June 10, 2020, the court in the related lawsuit styled *Seibel v. PHWLTV, LLC, et*
10 *al.*, Case No. A-17-751759-B, currently pending before the Eighth Judicial District Court of the
11 State of Nevada (the “State Court Matter”), orally granted, in part, a similar motion to extend
12 certain discovery deadlines in the State Court Matter.

13 4. The Parties have agreed that discovery in this matter will proceed simultaneously
14 with discovery conducted in the State Court Matter and thus anticipate filing a stipulation and
15 proposed order to address the discovery deadlines in this matter—which would render the Motion
16 to Extend moot—promptly following entry of an order extending the discovery deadlines in the
17 State Court Matter.

18 5. Accordingly, the Parties have agreed that, briefing shall be stayed on the Motion to
19 Extend while the Parties finalize a stipulation and proposed order to address the discovery schedule
20 in this matter in line with the schedule to be entered in the State Court Matter.

21 6. Good cause exists to stay briefing on the Motion to Extend as it will be unnecessary
22 for the Court to rule upon the Motion to Extend following entry of a new discovery schedule as
23 agreed by the Parties. In an effort to conserve judicial resources, the Parties respectfully request
24 that this Court stay briefing on the Motion to Extend.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

