Gardner v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

Page **1** of **3**

Doc. 54

Case 2:17-cv-00352-PAL Document 53 Filed 01/10/19 Page 2 of 3

Plaintiffs hereby agree and stipulate with Defendant Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department ("LVMPD") and Defendant Naphcare, Inc. ("Naphcare") to extend the deadline for LVMPD and Naphcare to file their respective Replies in Support of their Motions for Summary 4 Judgment, [ECF No. 47 and 48] currently set for January 11, 2019, for an additional seven (7) days, until January 18, 2018. This is the first requested extension of LVMPD's reply and Naphcare's reply. LVMPD and Naphcare request the extension because counsel require additional time to prepare the replies. /// 8 /// /// /// 12 /// 13 /// /// 14 /// 16 /// /// 18 /// /// 20 /// /// 22 /// ///

2252675_1 [6943.121]

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

15

17

19

21

23

24

1	The extension will not prejudice any party and will allow LVMPD and Naphcare to	
2	adequately brief their replies in support of their respective motions for summary judgment filed	
3	with this Court. The parties are not delaying the conclusion of this matter; no trial date has yet	
4	been ordered.	
5	DATED this 9 th day of January, 2019.	
6		
7	KAEMPFER CROWELL	MESSNER REEVES LLP
8	By: _/s/ Lyssa S. Anderson	By: /s/ Lauren D. Calvert
9	LYSSA S. ANDERSON Nevada Bar No. 5781	LAUREN D. CALVERT Nevada Bar No. 10534
10	1980 Festival Plaza Drive, #650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Attorneys for LVMPD	8945 W. Russell Road, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89148
11	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH	Attorney for Plaintiffs
12	ELVIS BROBERS BEGINNES & SMITH	
13	By: /s/ S. Brent Vogel S. BRENT VOGEL, ESQ.	
14	Nevada Bar No. 6858 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118	
15	Attorneys for Defendant Naphcare	
16		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18		
19	DATED this 14th day of January, 2019.	
20		
21	-	UNITED SEATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22		
23		
	I and the second	

24