1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	
9	MARLO THOMAS,
10	Petitioner, 2:17-cv-00475-RFB-VCF
11	vs. ORDER
12	TIMOTHY FILSON, et al.,
13	Respondents.
14	/
15	
16	In this capital habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Marlo Thomas, filed a motion for stay on
17	October 26, 2017 (ECF No. 27). Respondents filed an opposition to that motion on January 9, 2018
18	(ECF No. 35). Thomas was due to file a reply to respondents' opposition to the motion on
19	January 16, 2018. See LR 7-2(d) (7 days for reply).
20	On January 16, 2018, Thomas filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 37), requesting
21	a 21-day extension of time to February 6, 2018 for his reply in support of his motion for stay.
22	This would be the first extension of this deadline. Petitioner's counsel states that the extension of
23	time is necessary because of her obligations in another case, and because of a period of mandatory
24	administrative leave. Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time. The Court finds
25	that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay,
26	and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested.

Dockets.Justia.com

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF
2	No. 37) is <b>GRANTED</b> . Petitioner shall have until February 6, 2018, to file a reply in support of his
3	motion for stay.
4	
5	DATED this <u>18th</u> day of January, 2018.
6	R
7	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
8	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
15	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2