

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

15 On December 29, 2017, petitioner Garcia filed a motion for appointment of counsel. ECF  
16 No. 15. This court denied Garcia's prior motion for appointment of counsel in its initial screening  
17 order. ECF No. 8.

Having considered Garcia's points and authorities and reviewed his filings in this case, the court stands by its decision to deny appointment counsel. As noted in the court's prior order, there is no constitutional right to counsel in a habeas proceeding. *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 429 (9th Cir. 1993). "Indigent state prisoners applying for habeas corpus relief are not entitled to appointed counsel unless the circumstances indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent due process violations." *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987).

25 Garcia has failed to show that the complexities of the case are such that denial of appointed  
26 counsel would amount to a denial of due process. In addition, his filings with the court indicate that

1 he understands the issues and is capable of presenting his arguments to the court. *See LaMere v.*  
2 *Risley*, 827 F.2d 622, 626 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987) (affirming the denial of the appointment of counsel where a  
3 petitioner's pleadings demonstrated a good understanding of the issues and an ability to present  
4 contentions "forcefully and coherently").

5 **IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF  
6 No. 15) is DENIED.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for an extension of time (ECF No.  
8 18) is GRANTED. Petitioner's response to respondents' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 11) is due on  
9 or before April 27, 2018.

10 Dated March 8, 2018.

11   
12 James C. Mahan  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26