

1 HOGAN HULET PLLC
 2 KENNETH E. HOGAN
 Nevada Bar No. 10083
 E-mail: ken@h2legal.com
 3 JEFFREY L. HULET
 Nevada Bar No. 10621
 E-mail: Jeff@h2legal.com
 5 1140 N Town Center Drive, Suite 300
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
 6 Tel: (702) 800-5482
 Attorneys for Defendants
 7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 EDWARD S. HALLEY, individually: and
 11 FLAGSHIP EXPRESS AIRLINES, INC.,
 an Illinois corporation
 12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 DEFENDANTS WILLIAM ACOR'S and
 DEFENDANT RBY, INC.'s
 15 CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED
 PARTIES WILLIAM ACOR, individually;
 16 RBY, INC., a Nevada corporation; VISION
 AIRLINES, INC., Nevada Corporation; and
 17 VISION AVIATION HOLDINGS, INC., a
 Nevada corporation,
 18 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:17-cv-00507

**MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING
 ORDER; [PROPOSED] AMENDED
 SCHEDULING ORDER**

(First Request)

20 Defendant Vision Airlines, Inc. (“VAI”), by and through its attorneys of record, hereby
 21 move the Court to extend deadlines for the disclosure of experts, rebuttal experts, and discovery
 22 cut-off as detailed herein. This the first request for extension of time to take discovery.

23 **I. Discovery Completed and Pending**

24 The parties timely served their Initial Disclosures.

25 On September 14, 2017, Plaintiffs propounded Interrogatories on Defendants William
 26 Acor, RBY, Inc., Vision Airlines, Inc., and Vision Aviation Holdings, Inc., and also, Requests
 27 for Production on the same Defendants.
 28

1 In response, on November 6, 2017, Defendants William Acor, RBY, Inc., Vision
2 Airlines, Inc., and Vision Aviation Holdings, Inc. provided their Interrogatory Responses and
3 production of documents.

4 On November 7, 2017, Plaintiffs served their First Supplement to Initial Disclosures.

5 Defendant is preparing and will propound written Interrogatories and Requests for
6 Production to each Plaintiff on or before November 30, 2017.

7 It is anticipated that Plaintiff will notice and take the deposition of the 30(b)(6) designee
8 for each of the named entity Defendants, and that upon Defendants' receipt of Plaintiffs'
9 responses to Defendants' pending written discovery, a deposition will be set for Plaintiff Halley
10 and the 30(b)(6) designee for Flagship Airlines, Inc. Deposition subpoenas may issue to any
11 expert or rebuttal expert designated by the parties. Also, it may be necessary to subpoena
12 documents from Havana Air, operating from Miami, Florida.

13 **II. Basis for Extension**

14 VAI has been seeking to retain an expert on Federal Aviation Agency and Department of
15 Transportation regulations and their application to the claims and defenses in the action. The
16 complex aviation regulations strictly mandate what may and may not be done in the carrying of
17 air passengers by U.S. airlines or airlines operating in the U.S. VAI asserts that Plaintiffs' lack
18 of compliance with these regulations is the cause of the fact pattern within the action, and a
19 complete defense to Plaintiffs' Complaint. See e.g. Defendant Vision Airline, Inc.'s and Vision
20 Aviation Holdings Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims (Dkt 12), at pp. 9-11, Affirmative Defenses
21 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 35, 36, 48, 49, 50, and 51; see also Counterclaims, at ¶¶ 9, 10, and 11. The
22 testimony is intended to assist the Court in understanding the complex regulatory limitations
23 placed upon the parties extraneous to, but contemplated (and mandatory) within, the contractual
24 structures alleged and otherwise as understood and applied in this highly regulated industry.

25 Good cause exists for the brief extension requested. VAI has encountered several false
26 starts in the process of securing an expert to opine on the governing regulations. Initially, VAI's
27 principals were overseas, often in remote areas, for two months (late August through the majority
28 of October) coordinating new contracts for 2018, and the groundwork that VAI was able to lay

1 during that absentee period eroded in late October. Active government employees with the FAA
2 and/or DOT, knowledgeable of the regulations and known to VAI had been contacted, and were
3 interested and willing, but upon their further up-channel investigation were ultimately unable to
4 obtain the necessary permissions from their agencies to perform expert services in the action. To
5 counter that problem, VAI quickly moved into discussions with former government employees,
6 and had numerous discussions with a former Department of Transportation employee, but again
7 upon up-channel investigation, he similarly was forced to forgo retention upon his new private
8 employer's objection to his participation in the action. This start/stop process does not reflect a
9 lack of diligence, but rather, a limited pool of potential and available experts. VAI has since
10 recently located an aviation attorney with 30+ years of experience applying the FAA/DOT
11 regulations. He is willing to assist, has been retained, and has been provided with relevant
12 documents for review, but states that he cannot complete a report within the existing timelines.
13 Further, due to the pending holidays, he states that he will require another three weeks to
14 complete his review of documents and provide his report.

15 The parties' counsel discussed the situation and proposed amendments. Plaintiffs'
16 counsel reported back on November 21, 2017 that Plaintiffs had declined to stipulate.

17 **III. Proposed Plan and Discovery Order**

18 This brief extension of timelines is not interposed for the purpose of delay. VAI proposes
19 the following amendments to the Court's June 22, 2017 Scheduling Order:

20 **Expert Disclosures:** The date for Expert Disclosures shall be extended from November
21 22, 2017 to **December 12, 2017**.

22 **Rebuttal Expert Disclosures:** The Rebuttal Expert Disclosures shall be extended from
23 December 22, 2017 to January 12, 2018.

24 **Discovery Cut-Off:** The deadline to conduct discovery shall be extended from January
25 22, 2017 to February 12, 2018.

26 **Dispositive Motions:** The date for filing dispositive motions shall be extended from
27 February 21, 2018 to not later than March 12, 2018, thirty (30) days after the proposed
28 discovery cut-off date of February 12, 2018.

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 22nd day of November, 2017, he served a
3 copy of the foregoing **MOTION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER; [PROPOSED]**
4 **AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER (First Request)** by and through the CM/ECF System, to
5 the attention the parties registered therein, including:

6 John Aldrich, Esq.
7 ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
8 1601 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 160
9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

10 /s/ Kenneth E. Hogan
11 KENNETH E. HOGAN, Esq.