

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

Capital One, National Association, et al.,

Case No. 2:17-cv-00604-RFB-BNW

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

v.

SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC et al.,

Defendants.

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 394] of the Honorable Brenda Weksler, United States Magistrate Judge, entered September 14, 2021.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by December 22, 2021. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

...

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 394] is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that that ECF No. 361 is DENIED.

DATED: January 27, 2022.



RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
United States District Judge