(702) 471-7000 FAX (702) 471-7070

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Joel E. Tasca
	Nevada Bar No. 14124
2	Abran E. Vigil, Esq.
	Nevada Bar. No. 7548
3	Lindsay Demaree
	Nevada Bar No. 11949
4	Maria A. Gall
	Nevada Bar No. 14200
5	Kyle A. Ewing
	Nevada Bar No. 14051
6	BALLARD SPAHR LLP
	1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 900
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
	Telephone: (702) 471-7000
8	Facsimile: (702) 471-7070
	tasca@ballardspahr.com
9	vigila@ballardspahr.com
	demareel@ballardspahr.com
10	gallm@ballardspahr.com
	ewingk@ballardspahr.com
11	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. AS TRUSTEE FOR WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2005-PR4 TRUST,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company;

ALIANTE MASTER ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; VIKKI MONTOYA, an individual.

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:17-CV-00606-APG-NJK

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY **ENTIRE CASE PENDING SETTLEMENT** 

(FIRST REQUEST)

Pursuant to Local Rules LR IA 6-2 and LR 7-1, Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as Trustee for WAMU Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2005-PR4 Trust ("Wells Fargo"), Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("SFR") and Defendant Aliante Master Association ("Aliante"), through their respective attorneys, stipulate as follows:

DMWEST #17556609 v1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	. (	n April 1	2, 2017,	the Co	urt en	tered	an c	order a	ıdminis	strativ	ely sta	aying
the inst	ant ca	se until t	he appea	ıl proce	edings	s in $B$	ouri	ne Val	ley Cou	ırt Tru	ıst v.	Wells
Fargo E	Bank, 8	332 F.3d 1	1154 (9th	Cir. 20	16) ar	nd Sat	ticoy	Bay I	LLC Se	ries 38	50 Dui	rango
104 v.	Wells	Fargo H	ome Mo	rtgage,	388 I	P.3d 9	970	(Nev.	2017),	have	concl	ıded.
(ECF N	o. 6).											

- 2. While those proceedings have since concluded, the April 12, 2017, Order provided that any party may move to lift the stay once the proceedings were completed.
  - 3. To date, no party has done so.
  - 4. A Discovery Plan/Scheduling Order has not been issued.
- 5. The Parties have now come to an agreement and are in the process of finalizing settlement.
- 6. Given the resolution and to avoid wasting resources and incurring potentially unnecessary expense associated with continued litigation, the Parties agree, and hereby request, a stay of the case to give each side sufficient time and resources to finalize settlement.
- 7. The parties anticipate that finalizing settlement will require approximately ninety days.

[Continued on the following page]

1980 FESTIVAL PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 900

BALLARD SPAHR LLP