1 2	DARRELL D. DENNIS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6618 ADAM J. PERNSTEINER, ESQ.		
	Nevada Bar No. 7862 JAKE R. SPENCER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12282 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Phone: 702.893.3383		
3			
4			
5			
6	E-Mail: <u>Darrell.Dennis@lewisbrisbois.com</u> E-Mail: <u>Adam.Pernsteiner@lewisbrisbois.com</u>		
7	E-Mail: <u>Jake.Spencer@lewisbrisbois.com</u> Attorneys for Defendants Randolph Peterson		
8	and Teri Peterson		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
11			
12	HAWKINS MARITAL TRUST, by and through its trustees, ROBERT N. CARRIKER, ARTHUR	CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-00610	
13	O. DUMMER and CRAIG A. KREISER,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY	
14	Plaintiff,		
15	vs.		
16	RANDOLPH PETERSON and TERI PETERSON and DOES I Through V, inclusive;		
17	Defendants.		
18	Detendants.		
19			
20	Plaintiff HAWKINS MARITAL TRUST ("Plaintiff"), by and through its attorneys of		
21	record, ELLIS LAW, P.C., and Defendants RANDOLPH PETERSON and TERI PETERSON		
22	("Defendants"), by and through their attorneys of record, Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP,		
23	hereby agree as follows:		
24	1. On November 23, 2016, Plaintif	f filed suit against Defendants in the Eighth	
25	Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, Case No. A-16-747122-C. The Plaintiff		
26	seeks to obtain judgments from Defendants related to two parcels of real property located in Clark		
27	County, Nevada.		
28	2. Subsequently, on February 24, 20	17, Defendants removed this matter to Federal	

Court. [Doc. 1].

- 3. On March 3, 2017, Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint [Doc. 7]. Plaintiff filed an Opposition on March 17, 2017 [Doc. 8].
- 4. This case is at the initial pleading stage so there will be no prejudice or disruption to the proceedings by staying this matter at this early stage. In addition, no other parties are involved in this case and all of the parties in the case agree to staying discovery. As such, this stay will not prejudice any other party and it will avoid unnecessary expense to the parties appearing in this case.
- 5. A district court has "wide discretion in controlling discovery," and its decision will not be overturned absent a clear abuse of discretion. Little v. City of Seattle, 863 F.2d 681, 685 (9th Cir. 1988). A stay of discovery "furthers the goal of efficiency for the court and litigants." Id. at 685. "In exercising [its] discretion, a court may relieve a party of the burdens of discovery while a potentially dispositive motion is pending." Kuzova v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Sec., No. 10-01711, 2011 WL 3422777, at *1 (D. Nev. Aug. 3, 2011) (citing Turner Broadcasting Sys. v. Tracinda Corp., 175 F.R.D. 554, 555-56 (D. Nev. 1997)).
- 6. A stay of the action pending the resolution of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint would be prudent and most economical for the parties. See Irish v. U.S., 2015 WL 557075 (D. Nev., February 10, 2015).

As such, the parties stipulate as follows:

- 1. That this action be stayed until the Court has ruled on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 2. The stay shall include all current deadlines, including discovery deadlines. Any outstanding discovery deadlines shall be stayed as indicated above.

25 || / / /

///

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4815-2420-7173.1

1	3. Within 30 days after a ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's	
2	Complaint, the parties will, as necessary: (1) submit a Stipulation and Order reflecting resolution	
3	of either or all of the claims and/or (2) reconvene pursuant to LR 26-1 to prepare an updated	
4	Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order for the Court's approval.	
5		
6	DATED: _March 20, 2017	
7	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD ELLIS LAW, P.C. & SMITH LLP	
8		
9	By: <u>/s/ Adam J. Pernsteiner</u> By: <u>/s/ Frank A. Ellis III</u>	
10	Adam J. Pernsteiner, Esq. Frank A. Ellis III, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7862 Nevada Bar No. 1623	
11	6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 600 510 South 9 th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
12	Attorneys for Defendants Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13		
14	ORDER	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	II is so ordered.	
17		
18	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
19	DATED : 3-21-2017	
20		
21		
22		
23		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 24

25

26

27

28

4815-2420-7173.1