1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	* * *	
7	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,	Case No. 2:17-CV-718 JCM (NJK)
8	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER
9	V.	
10	CACTUS COURT HOMEOWNERS	
11	ASSOCIATION, et al.,	
12	Defendant(s).	
13		
14	Presently before the court is defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's motion for demand	
15	for security of costs. (ECF No. 10). Defendant asks that plaintiff Bank of America, N.A. file	
16	security of costs in the amount of \$500.00 in favor of the defendant pursuant to NRS 18.130(1)	
17	because plaintiff is a non-resident of Nevada.	
18	The Ninth Circuit recognizes that "federal district courts have inherent power to require	
19	plaintiffs to post security for costs." Simulnet E. Assocs. v. Ramada Hotel Operating Co., 37 F.3d	
20	573, 574 (9th Cir. 1994). A federal district court typically follows the forum state's practice	
21	regarding security of costs, particularly when a party is a non-resident. See, e.g., 10 Charles Alan	
22	Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 2671 (3d ed. 1998). Section 18.130	
23	of the Nevada Revised Statutes provides that the court may require an out-of-state plaintiff to post	
24	a security for costs in an amount up to \$500.00 upon request by a defendant. Nev. Rev. Stat. §	
25	18.130.	
26	This court finds it appropriate to order security of costs in this matter.	
27		
28		
James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge		

1	Accordingly,	
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion for	
3	demand for security of costs (ECF No. 10) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.	
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall post security in the amount of \$500.00	
5	within seven (7) days of the entry of this order.	
6	DATED April 7, 2017.	
7	Xerres C. Mahan	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20		
han		