

1 THOMAS E. WINNER
2 Nevada Bar No. 5168
3 MATTHEW J. DOUGLAS
4 Nevada Bar No. 11371
5 **ATKIN WINNER & SHERROD**
6 1117 South Rancho Drive
7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
8 Phone (702) 243-7000
9 Facsimile (702) 243-7059
10 twinner@awslawyers.com
11 mdouglas@awslawyers.com

12 *Attorneys for Defendant*

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

15 ROBERT CONWAY,

16 CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-00748- JCM-GWF

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 NATIONAL GENERAL INSURANCE
20 COMPANY, duly authorized to conduct
21 business in the State of Nevada; DOES I
22 through X, and ROE CORPORATIONS I
23 through X, inclusive,

24 **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND DEFENDANT'S TIME TO
RESPOND TO WRITTEN DISCOVERY
AND PLAINTIFF'S DEADLINE TO FILE
AN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
(DOC #18)**

25 **(First Request)**

26 Defendant.

27 Pursuant to LR 7-1, the parties, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby
28 stipulate and request that this Court extend the time for Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's
written discovery by fourteen (14) days and extend Plaintiff's time to Oppose Defendants
Motion for a Protective Order (Doc. #18, herein) by fourteen days in the above-captioned case.
The parties do not believe this will affect the dates as set forth in the current Stipulated Amended
Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. In support of this Stipulation and Request, the parties
state as follows:

- 25 1. On January 31, 2017 Plaintiff filed his Complaint in the eight Judicial District Court
26 of Clark County, NV.
- 27 2. Plaintiff served Defendant with Complaint February 15, 2017;

3. Defendant filed its Petition for Removal and Answer on March 15, 2017;
4. March 30, 2017 Plaintiff filed its Motion to Remand to State Court which Defendant opposed;
5. On April 26, 2017 the Court denied Plaintiff's Motion to Remand;
6. On April 28, 2017 the parties held their Initial FRCP 26 Conference and submitted a proposed Discovery Scheduling Order;
7. The proposed Discovery Plan was approved by the Court on May 1, 2017;
8. On May 25, 2017 Plaintiff served written interrogatories and Requests for Production on Defendant;
9. On June 13, 2017 the parties filed a Stipulation to extend the discovery deadlines which was granted by the Court on June 15th, 2017;
10. On June 20th, 2017 Defendant filed its Motion for Protective Order regarding Depositions of Defendant noticed by Plaintiff;
11. On June 22nd, 2017 this Court set a briefing schedule and hearing date on said Motion for Protective Order with the Plaintiff's Opposition being due by June 28, 2017 and the hearing set for June 29, 2017.

15 **A. CURRENT SCHEDULE**

- 16 1. At the current time, Defendant's response to Plaintiff's written interrogatories and Requests for Production on Defendant are due June 28th, 2017;
- 17 2. Further, the Opposition of Plaintiff to Defendant's Motion for Protective Order (Doc. #18) would be June 28th, 2017 per this Court's Order, Doc. #19, herein.

19 **B. REASONS WHY THE CURRENT MATTERS NOTED IN SECTION A CANNOT
20 BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE CURRENT
21 DEADLINES**

22 Defendant is diligently answering Plaintiff's written discovery, but Defendant's
23 representative is out until next week and Defendant needs additional time to confer with its client
24 to complete the discovery.

25 Additionally, Plaintiff wishes to depose at least 2 individuals from Defendant NGIC both
26 of whom reside and work out of state. At the current time there is a dispute regarding these
27 depositions that is the subject of a Motion for Protective Order (Doc#18, herein) which was filed
28 June 20th, 2017. As the parties are now trying to resolve these issues without need of the Court's
intervention, the parties seek additional time for the deadline for Plaintiff to file an Opposition to
the Motion to see if they can accomplish such agreement. As such, the parties ask this court to
vacate the currently set hearing date for June 29th, 2017 and allow Plaintiff additional time, up to

1 and including July 19th, 2017 to Respond to the Motion with hearing date to be reset thereafter.

2 **C. PROPOSED PLAN FOR COMPLETING ABOVE ITEMS IN SECTION A**

3 The parties request that the following deadlines be extended as follows:

4

- 5 • Deadline for Defendant to Answer Plaintiff's interrogatories and Request for
6 Production: July 12, 2017
- 7 • Deadline for Plaintiff to file an Opposition to the Motion for
8 Protective Order: July 19, 2017

9 **D. THE CURRENT TRIAL DATE**

10 No Trial date has yet been set.

11 This Request for an extension of time is not sought for any improper purpose or other
12 purpose of delay. Rather, it is sought by the parties solely for the purpose of allowing sufficient
13 time to conduct discovery, seek agreement, and adequately prepare their respective cases for
trial.

14 This is the first request for extension of time in regards to these matters. The parties
15 respectfully submit that the reasons set forth above constitute compelling reasons for the short
16 extension.

17 WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request that this Court extend the discovery
18 period for Defendant to Answer written discovery up to and including July 12, 2017 and extend
19 the deadline for Plaintiff to file an Opposition to the Motion for Protective Order (Doc#18,
herein), up to and including July 19, 2017 and for the hearing on the Motion for Protective Order
to be re-set to a date thereafter.

20 DATED this 23rd day of June, 2017

21 ATKIN WINNER & SHERROD

22

23 LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM R. BRENSKE

24 /s/ MATTHEW J. DOUGLAS

25 Thomas E. Winner, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 5168
Matthew J. Douglas, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 11371
1117 South Rancho Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Attorneys for Defendant NGIC

26 /s/ KIMBALL JONES

27 Ryan M. Anderson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 11040
Kimball Jones, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 12982
716 S. Jones Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
Attorneys for Plaintiff Conway

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

///

DATED this 26th day of June, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

George Foley Jr.

GEORGE W. FOLEY
United States Magistrate Judge

Conway v NGIC; CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-00748- JCM-GWF

ATKIN WINNER & SHERROD
A NEVADA LAW FIRM
LTD