

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3 \*\*\*

4  
5 SEAN KENNEDY, et al.,

6 Plaintiffs,

7 vs.

8 LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., et al.,

9 Defendants.

2:17-cv-00880-JCM-VCF

**ORDER**

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER  
[ECF No. 95]

10  
11 Before the Court is Defendants Sands Aviation, LLC and Las Vegas Sands Corp.'s Emergency  
12 Motion for Protective Order, Alternatively to Quash, Plaintiffs' Subpoena Issued to Non-Party The  
13 VanAllen Group, Inc. (ECF No. 95). Defendants seek resolution of a subpoena duces tecum with a  
14 response date of June 27, 2018. (Id. at 2).

15 Emergency motions must deal with "factual issues or legal questions that require a more urgent  
16 timeline than would apply in the ordinary course of motion practice." United Nat. Ins. Co. v. Assurance  
17 Co. of Am., No. 2:10-CV-01086-JAD, 2014 WL 4960915, at \*1 (D. Nev. June 4, 2014). In this case,  
18 Defendants' motion can be briefed in the ordinary course. The non-party affected by the subpoena duces  
19 tecum served objections on Plaintiffs. (ECF No. 95-12). Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(d)(2),  
20 once objections have been made, the acts specified by the subpoena "may be required only as directed in  
21 the [Court's] order." The Court<sup>1</sup> has not yet issued an order compelling compliance with the subpoena  
22 duces tecum.

23  
24  
25 <sup>1</sup> It appears that this Court will be ruling on the issue, rather than the Northern District of Georgia. (ECF No. 95 at 8; ECF No. 95-12).

1 The Court recently directed the parties to submit an amended proposed discovery plan and  
2 scheduling order to adjust the discovery deadline and other dates in this case. (ECF No. 92). The parties  
3 can take the time needed to resolve the subpoena duces tecum dispute into account in their amended  
4 proposed discovery plan and scheduling order.

5 Good cause appearing,

6 IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' Emergency Motion for Protective Order, Alternatively to  
7 Quash, Plaintiffs' Subpoena Issued to Non-Party The VanAllen Group, Inc. (ECF No. 95) will be briefed  
8 in the ordinary course.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that compliance with Plaintiffs' subpoena duces tecum is stayed  
10 pending resolution of Defendant's motion.

11  
12 DATED this 25th day of June, 2018.



13  
14 CAM FERENBACH  
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25