

1 DEVERIE J. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 6596

2 JOSHUA A. SLIKER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12493

3 **JACKSON LEWIS P.C.**

3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ste. 600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

5 E-Mail: [christensend@jacksonlewis.com](mailto:christensend@jacksonlewis.com)

E-Mail: [joshua.sliker@jacksonlewis.com](mailto:joshua.sliker@jacksonlewis.com)

6 Telephone: (702) 921-2460

Facsimile: (702) 921-2461

7 *Attorneys for Defendant*

8 *Bellagio, LLC*

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

10 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

11 HECTOR TORRES,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 BELLAGIO, LLC, a Nevada corporation;  
15 ROE Business Organizations I-X; and, DOE  
16 INDIVIDUALS I-X, inclusive,

17 Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01025-JAD-VCF

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER TO EXTEND DISPOSITIVE  
MOTION DEADLINE**

**(FOURTH REQUEST)**

18 Pursuant to Local Rules IA 6-1 and 26-4, Plaintiff HECTOR TORRES ("Plaintiff") by  
19 and through his counsel, Kemp & Kemp, and Defendant BELLAGIO, LLC ("Defendant"), by and  
20 through its counsel, Jackson Lewis P.C., hereby stipulate to amend the Discovery Plan and  
21 Scheduling Order (ECF No. 35) and Order Granting Stipulation to Extend Discovery Deadlines  
22 (Third Request) (ECF No. 43) by extending the deadline to file dispositive motions as set forth  
23 below.

24 This is the fourth request for an extension to the discovery plan and scheduling order in  
25 this matter. The parties' prior requests have sought additional time to conduct discovery which in  
26 turn has effected the deadline to file dispositive motions under FRCP 56. This extension does not  
27 seek additional time to conduct discovery, and is sought in good faith and not for purposes of  
28 delay. The request to extend the deadline is subject to the good standard as the request is made

1 less 21 days prior to its expiration. LR 26-4. Good cause exist to extend the deadline as several  
2 unanticipated interceding events have occurred which necessitates the parties' request.

3 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

4 **I. LEGAL ARGUMENT**

5 Under Rule 6(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court may, for good  
6 cause, extend a deadline if the request is made before the deadline expires. Similarly, under Rule  
7 16(b)(4), a deadline set forth in a scheduling order may be modified for good cause. The good  
8 cause inquiry focuses on the moving party's diligence. Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.,  
9 975 F.2d 604, 608-09 (9th Cir. 1992). Although Local Rule 26-4 requires all *motions* to extend a  
10 deadline set forth in a scheduling order to be filed no later than 21 days before the expiration of  
11 the subject deadline, the underlying rationale of the Local Rule is not implicated here because the  
12 parties have entered into the instant stipulation. See Woods v. Quintana, No. 2:13-cv-01314-  
13 APG-CWH, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50889, at \*6 (D. Nev. Apr. 15, 2016) ("Part of the underlying  
14 rationale for Local Rule 26-4 is to avoid the situation in which the Court" has to "attempt to  
15 decide a motion to extend a deadline before there has been time for the opposing party to respond  
16 to the motion.").

17 Here, good cause exists to extend the dispositive motion deadline by one week from its  
18 current deadline of April 27, 2018 to May 4, 2018. The undersigned Counsel for Defendant is  
19 currently engaged in trial preparations for another case set on a May 21, 2018 five-week trial  
20 stack in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-15-727524-C (the  
21 "trial case"). Earlier this week, the trial case and certain parties therein were the subject of  
22 extensive national and local news coverage which has continued throughout the week. This  
23 event, so close to trial, has necessitated the involvement of Defendant's Counsel.

24 Further, Defendant's Counsel unexpectedly had to prepare for and participate in a labor  
25 arbitration hearing on Thursday, April 19<sup>th</sup>. Defendant's Counsel will also be participating in  
26 hearings on Monday through Thursday of next week for the trial case. Finally, the parties in the  
27 trial case will be participating in a required pre-trial exhibit conference next week to settle  
28 exhibits and discuss stipulations regarding admissibility. Defendant's Counsel has learned that the

1 parties will need to discuss the marking and admissibility of at least 470 separate exhibits. In light  
2 of this, the conference is likely to span several days.

3 The aforementioned events have and will make it impossible for Defendant's Counsel to  
4 complete drafting of Defendant's dispositive motion by the current deadline of April 27<sup>th</sup>.  
5 Defendant's Counsel has explained the circumstances to Plaintiff's Counsel. Plaintiff's Counsel  
6 was understanding and agreed to extend the dispositive motion deadline to May 4, 2018.  
7 Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the dispositive motion deadline be extended by  
8 one week from its current deadline of April 27, 2018 to May 4, 2018.

9 Dated: April 23<sup>rd</sup> 2018

Dated: April 23<sup>rd</sup> 2018

10 Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,

11  
12 /s/ Victoria L. Neal

/s/ Joshua A. Sliker

JAMES P. KEMP, ESQ.

DEVERIE J. CHRISTENSEN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 6375

Nevada Bar No. 6596

VICTORIA L. NEAL, ESQ.

JOSHUA A. SLIKER, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 13382

Nevada Bar No. 12493

KEMP & KEMP

JACKSON LEWIS, P.C.

7435 W. Azure Drive, Suite 110

3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ste. 600

Las Vegas, NV 89130

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

17 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
18 *Hector Torres*

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Bellagio, LLC*

19  
20 **ORDER**

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 April 24

23 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2018.

24 

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 HON. CAM FERENBACH  
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
28