

2065196_1.doc 6943.126

KAEMPFER CROWELL 1980 Festival Plaza Drive Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

1	DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DATE
2	Plaintiff and Defendants, LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT,
3	OFFICER JONATHAN ROBINSON and OFFICER PAUL AKE ("LVMPD Defendants") have
4	exchanged their initial Rule 26(f) Disclosures.
5	LVMPD Defendants served their initial written discovery requests (Interrogatories,
6	Requests for Admissions and Requests for Production of Documents) on Plaintiff. Plaintiff's
7	responses were due October 30, 2017. No responses have been received. LVMPD Defendants
8	then sent a letter to Plaintiff's counsel advising them that Plaintiff's discovery were past due, and
9	voluntarily giving Plaintiff an additional ten (10) days to respond. Plaintiff's counsel then
10	requested an additional few days to respond, which was granted. Plaintiff's responses are now
11	due November 22, 2017.
12	DISCOVERY YET TO BE COMPLETED
13	Upon receipt of the responses to written discovery from Plaintiff, LVMPD Defendants
14	intend to serve various third-party subpoenas. Plaintiff will serve written discovery on LVMPD
14 15	intend to serve various third-party subpoenas. Plaintiff will serve written discovery on LVMPD Defendants. The parties will conduct several depositions of the individual parties and third
15	Defendants. The parties will conduct several depositions of the individual parties and third
15 16	Defendants. The parties will conduct several depositions of the individual parties and third parties. The parties will also disclose expert and rebuttal expert witnesses.
15 16 17	Defendants. The parties will conduct several depositions of the individual parties and third parties. The parties will also disclose expert and rebuttal expert witnesses. <u>REASONS WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED</u>
15 16 17 18	Defendants. The parties will conduct several depositions of the individual parties and third parties. The parties will also disclose expert and rebuttal expert witnesses. REASONS WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED LVMPD Defendants have engaged in discovery by serving their initial written discovery
15 16 17 18 19	Defendants. The parties will conduct several depositions of the individual parties and third parties. The parties will also disclose expert and rebuttal expert witnesses. REASONS WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED LVMPD Defendants have engaged in discovery by serving their initial written discovery requests on Plaintiff. The current deadline for parties to disclose expert witnesses is December
15 16 17 18 19 20	 Defendants. The parties will conduct several depositions of the individual parties and third parties. The parties will also disclose expert and rebuttal expert witnesses. <u>REASONS WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED</u> LVMPD Defendants have engaged in discovery by serving their initial written discovery requests on Plaintiff. The current deadline for parties to disclose expert witnesses is December 7, 2017. Plaintiff's responses to the initial written discovery are due November 22, 2017, less
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendants. The parties will conduct several depositions of the individual parties and third parties. The parties will also disclose expert and rebuttal expert witnesses. REASONS WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED LVMPD Defendants have engaged in discovery by serving their initial written discovery requests on Plaintiff. The current deadline for parties to disclose expert witnesses is December 7, 2017. Plaintiff's responses to the initial written discovery are due November 22, 2017, less than three (3) weeks before the expert disclosure deadline. LVMPD Defendants are not able to
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Defendants. The parties will conduct several depositions of the individual parties and third parties. The parties will also disclose expert and rebuttal expert witnesses. REASONS WHY REMAINING DISCOVERY HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED LVMPD Defendants have engaged in discovery by serving their initial written discovery requests on Plaintiff. The current deadline for parties to disclose expert witnesses is December 7, 2017. Plaintiff's responses to the initial written discovery are due November 22, 2017, less than three (3) weeks before the expert disclosure deadline. LVMPD Defendants are not able to obtain an expert report without documents from third-parties which must be subpoenaed. The

1	Plaintiff. As such, the parties require additional time to continue discovery and provide the			
2	information to experts.			
3	PROPOSED EXTENDED DEADLINES			
4	Accordingly, it is hereby stipulated and respectfully requested that this Court enter an			
5	order as follows:			
6	(A) Discovery Deadline. 8			
7	That the current discovery cut-off date of February 5, 201 χ , be extended for a period of 8			
8	thirty (30) days, up to and including March 7, 2017.			
9	(B) Experts and Rebuttal Experts.			
10	The parties, and each of them, shall disclose their experts to each other at least sixty (60)			
11	days before the discovery cut-off date, or by January 6, 2018. The parties, and each of them,			
12	shall disclose rebuttal experts at least thirty (30) days after the initial date for disclosure of			
13	experts, or by February 5, 201 \times . 8			
14	(C) Dispositive Motions.			
15	All pretrial motions, including but not limited to, discovery motions, motions to dismiss,			
16	motions for summary judgment, and all other dispositive motions shall be filed and served no			
17	later than thirty (30) days after the close of discovery, which is by April 6, 2018.			
18	(D) Motions in Limine/Daubert Motions.			
19	Under LR 16-3(b), any motions in limine, including Daubert type motions, shall be filed			
20	and served thirty (30) days prior to the commencement of Trial. Oppositions shall be filed and			
21	served and the motion submitted for decision fourteen (14) days thereafter. Reply briefs will be			
22	allowed only with leave of the Court.			
23	///			
24	111			

(E) Pretrial Order.

Pursuant to LR 26(1)(e)(5) the Joint Pretrial Order shall be filed with this Court no later
than thirty (30) days after the date set for filing dispositive motions, which shall be by May 6,
2018, unless dispositive motions are filed, in which case the date for filing the Joint Pretrial
Order shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after the decision on the dispositive motions or
further order of this Court. The disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any
objections shall be included in the final pretrial order.

8

1

(F) Interim Status Report.

9 In accordance with LR 26-3, not later than sixty (60) days before the discovery cut-off, 10 the parties shall submit an interim status report stating the time they estimate will be required for 11 trial giving three (3) alternative available trial dates, and stating whether in the opinion of 12 counsel who will try the case, trial will be eliminated or its length affected by substantive 13 motions. The status report shall be signed by counsel for each party or the party, if appearing in 14 *pro se*. The parties shall file the interim status report by January 6, 2018.

15

(G) Extensions or Modification of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order.

In accordance with LR 26-4, applications to extend any date set by the discovery plan, 16 17 scheduling order, or other order must, in addition to satisfying the requirements of LR 6-1, be supported by a showing of good cause for the extension. All motions or stipulations to extend a 18 19 deadline set forth in a discovery plan shall be received by the Court not later than twenty-one 20 (21) days before the expiration of the subject deadline. A request made after the expiration of the subject deadline shall not be granted unless the movant demonstrates that the failure to set 21 22 was the result of excusable neglect. Any motion or stipulation to extend a deadline or to reopen 23 discovery shall include:

24

A statement specifying the discovery completed;

(a)

	1	(b) A specific description of the discovery that remains to be completed;
	2	(c) The reasons why the deadline was not satisfied or the remaining discovery was
	3	not completed within the time limits set by the discovery plan; and
	4	(d) A proposed scheduled for completing all discovery.
	5	This extension request is made in good faith, jointly by the parties, to allow additional
	6	time for the parties to conduct additional discovery, take depositions and expert reports to be
	7	prepared and disclosed. This request is timely pursuant to LR 26-4. Trial in this matter has not
	8	yet been set and dispositive motions have not yet been filed. As such, this extension will not
	9	delay this case. Moreover, since this request is a joint request, neither party will be prejudiced.
	10	The extension will allow the parties the time needed to adequately prosecute this case.
	11	DATED this 16 th day of November, 2017.
	12	KAEMPFER CROWELLE. BRENT BRYSON, P.C.
	13	
	14	By:/s/ Lyssa AndersonBy:/s/ E. Brent BrysonLyssa Anderson, Esq.E. Brent Bryson, Esq.
	15	Nevada Bar No. 57817730 West Sahara Ave., Suite 1098345 West Sunset Road, Suite 250Las Vegas, NV 89117
	16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89113Las Vegas, Nev 05117Attorneys for DefendantsAttorney for Plaintiff
	17	IT IS SO ORDERED:
	18	IT IS SO ORDERED:
	19	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-01038-JCM-VCF
	20	
KAEMPFER CROWELL 1980 Festival Plaza Drive Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135	21	11-17-2017
	22	
	23	
KAEMPFEI 1980 Festiv Suit Las Vegas, N	24	
		2065196_1.doc 6943.126 Page 5 of 5