

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

CHAIM BENMELECH,	Plaintiff(s),
v.	
ELDORADO RESORTS CORPORATION, et al.,	Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:17-CV-1060 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

Presently before the court is defendant Eldorado Resorts Corporation’s partial motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 4). Plaintiff Chaim Benmelech has not filed a response, and the deadline to do so has since passed.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), “the failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any motion . . . constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion.” LR 7-2(d). Thus, by failing to file a timely response, plaintiff has consented to the granting of defendant’s partial motion to dismiss. See United States v. Hvass, 355 U.S. 570, 574–75 (1958) (holding that local rules have the force of law).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that defendant’s partial motion to dismiss (ECF No. 4) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that claims (3), (5), (7), (9), (10), and (11) of plaintiff’s complaint (ECF No. 1) be, and the same hereby are, DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

...
...

James C. Mahan
U.S. District Judge

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that claim (6), as it pertains to NRS 608.018, of plaintiff's
2 complaint (ECF No. 1) be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

3 DATED August 1, 2017.

4
5 
6 _____
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28