UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA \* \* \* MICHAEL RAY YOUNG, Case No. 2:17-cv-01062-RFB-VCF Plaintiff, **ORDER** v. STATE OF NEVADA, et al., Defendants. 

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 10) of the Honorable Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered June 12, 2017.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by June 26, 2017. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

. . .

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 10) is
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Young's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF
4	No. 8) is DENIED.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Young's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 7) is
6	DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.
7	The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff.
8	
9	DATED: <u>July 14, 2017.</u>
10	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
11	United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28