

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JOSE GALLIMORT,
Petitioner,

vs.

JAMES DZURENDA, *et al.*,
Respondents.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01083-GMN-CWH

ORDER

This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 by a Nevada state prisoner. Neither a filing fee nor an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* was submitted with the petition. When filing a habeas action, petitioner must either submit the \$5.00 filing fee for habeas petition or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Due to the lack of an *in forma pauperis* application or filing fee, the present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action with a pauper application with all required attachments. It does not appear from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly-filed new petition being untimely. In this regard, petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the limitations period as applied to his case and properly commencing a timely-filed habeas corpus action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to the filing of a **new petition in a new action**.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall send petitioner the following: (1) two copies of an *in forma pauperis* application form for a prisoner and one copy of the instructions for the same, (2) two copies of a blank 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas petition form and one copy of instructions for the same; and (3) the petition.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner may file a new petition in a new action, but may not file further documents in this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. Reasonable jurists would not find the dismissal of the improperly-commenced action without prejudice to be debatable or wrong.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

Dated this 5 day of May, 2017.



Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
United States District Court