

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

GRACE ALBANESE,)
)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
)
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE)
DEPARTMENT,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No.: 2:17-cv-01087-GMN-GWF

ORDER

On March 6, 2018, the Court entered an Order, (ECF No. 71), dismissing pro se Plaintiff Grace Albanese’s (“Plaintiff”) Complaint, (ECF No. 1-1), and closing the instant case. Subsequently, Plaintiff filed numerous Motions for Leave of Vexatious Order, (ECF Nos. 78, 105, 113, 141, 164, 165, 166, 174, 182), which the Court construes as Motions for Reconsideration.

“[A] motion for reconsideration should not be granted, absent highly unusual circumstances.” *Carroll v. Nakatani*, 342 F.3d 934, 945 (9th Cir. 2003) (citation omitted). Reconsideration is appropriate where: (1) the court is presented with newly discovered evidence, (2) the court committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling law. *School Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993).


Upon review of Plaintiff’s Motions, the Court finds that there are no grounds for reconsideration. Accordingly,

///
///

1 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motions for Leave of Vexatious Order,
2 (ECF Nos. 78, 105, 113, 141, 164, 165, 166, 174, 182), are **DENIED**.

3 **DATED** this 27 day of February, 2019.

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
United States District Court