1 Julie A. Mersch, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 004695 2 LAW OFFICE OF JULIE A. MERSCH 701 S.7th Street 3 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 387-5868 4 jam@merschlaw.com 5 Attorney for Plaintiff Louis Long 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 LOUIS LONG, CASE NO.: 2:17-cv-01297-JAD-GWF 9 Plaintiff. DISCOVERY PLAN AND 10 vs. **SCHEDULING ORDER** 11 HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT (Special Scheduling Review INSURANCE COMPANY, as Claims 12 Administrator for the Group Long Term **Requested**) Disability Plan for TARGET Corp.; DOES I 13 through V; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through V, inclusive, 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 Plaintiff LOUIS LONG and Defendant HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY ("HARTFORD") jointly request special scheduling review and 18 19 submit the following discovery plan and order for this case. Rule 26(f) Conference. I. 20 21 In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a telephonic conference was held on July 10, 2017 between Julie A. Mersch, counsel for the plaintiff, and Kristina Holmstrom, counsel for 22 23 HARTFORD. The parties agree that the standard discovery plan is not best suited for this lawsuit, for the reasons set forth below. The parties further certify, pursuant to LR 26-1(b)(7-24 25 8), that they have met and conferred regarding the possibility of using alternate dispute-26 resolution processes and alternate forms of case disposition including consent to trial by a

DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER (Special Scheduling Review Requested)

1

magistrate judge and the use of the Short Trial Program. The provisions of LR 26-1(b)(9) do not apply as no jury trial is demanded in this matter.

II. Nature of Case and Purpose of Special Review.

This claim involves payment of long-term disability benefits under a group insurance plan administered by LONG's employer, Target Corp. (Plan Administrator) for the benefit of its employees. Target delegated the administration of claims under the plan to Defendant HARTFORD (Claims Administrator). The Plaintiff's complaint alleges a claim under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. ("ERISA"), seeking 1) a declaratory judgment for the construction and applicability of the long-term disability insurance policy offset provision and payment of benefits withheld as a result of application of the offset provision resulting in reduced benefits; and 2) reinstatement of long-term disability benefits terminated by HARTFORD and payment of past benefits. This action is brought pursuant to § 502(a)(1)(B) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. §§ 1132 (a)(1)(B)). Discovery may be limited to the administrative record for Plaintiff's administrative claim and appeal. The administrative record consists of the information that was before Defendant at the time of its final decision, which includes, inter alia, Plaintiff's medical records, Plaintiff's arguments for the payment of benefits, the Plan Administrator's and Claim Administrator's decisions, and the long-term disability plan documents.

No discovery plan and scheduling order is generally required for review of an administrative record. LR 16-1(c)(1). An action for an administrative review usually requires that the parties file a briefing schedule. LR 16-1(c). Accordingly, the parties jointly request that this Court review and adopt the proposed non-standard discovery and case schedule set forth below:

III. Proposed Plan

The parties have conferred and agree as follows:

A. **Production of the Administrative Record:** HARTFORD will disclose a

3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

B. Meet and Confer Period: LONG intends to conduct discovery in this matter. Accordingly, LONG will serve HARTFORD by Monday, August 21, 2017 with written discovery and will identify any other form of discovery, such as deposition discovery, he would like to conduct. The parties will then meet and confer with each other to (1) identify areas of agreement about permissible ERISA discovery; (2) narrow the discovery requests, if possible, to gain agreement about permissible ERISA discovery and narrow areas of dispute about permissible areas of ERISA discovery. Defendant's responses to written discovery will be due by Monday, September 25, 2017.

C. Filing of Administrative Record/Briefing re: ERISA Discovery Disputes:

To the extent that, after the meet and confer period, the parties disagree as to the permissible scope of discovery and type of discovery, on or before Monday, October 2, 2017, the parties will simultaneously brief the Court and request a ruling on any remaining disputes, after Defendant has responded to written discovery. Each party will set forth its position in no more than 10 pages. If further discovery is permitted, the Court may set a reasonable time deadline for completion of discovery at that time. If no discovery briefs are filed, on or before Monday, October 16, 2017, Defendants will file a joint administrative record with this Court, the contents of which will be agreed upon by the parties.

D. Briefing Schedule for the Merits of the Case: If a joint administrative record is timely filed and simultaneous motions are not filed under Paragraph III.C., above, Plaintiff's Rule 52 and/or Rule 56 Motion shall be filed by **Monday, November 6, 2017**. Defendant's response will be due on **Friday, December 15, 2017**, and Plaintiff's reply memoranda will be due **Friday, January 12, 2018**.

IV. Settlement.

On April 7, 2017, the parties attempted to mediate the case before (Ret.) Magistrate Hon. Lawrence Leavitt. The mediation was not successful. Therefore, the parties anticipate

1	that this case will need to be decided on the merits.	
2	DATED: August 3, 2017	LAW OFFICE OF JULIE A. MERSCH
3		Pyr /s/ Julio A. Marsah
4		By: /s/ Julie A. Mersch JULIE A. MERSCH jam@merschlaw.com
5		Nevada Bar No.: 004695 701 S. 7 th Street
6		Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorney for Plaintiff LOUIS LONG
7		rationally for Filament Education Edition
8	DATED: August 3, 2017	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE
9		By: /s/ Kristina N. Holmstrom
10		By: /s/ Kristina N. Holmstrom KRISTINA N. HOLMSTROM kholmstrom@lrrc.com
11		Nevada Bar No. 10086 3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Ste. 600
12		Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996 Attorneys for Defendant HARTFORD
13		·
14		IT IS SO ORDERED:
15		Dated this 4th day of August, 2017.
16		y up
17		UNITED STATES MAGESTRATE JUDGE
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		