

1 reinstated as president because she was removed from office due to discipline, not due to the
2 trusteeship.

3 As to whether the trusteeship should be discontinued, the plaintiffs have not shown a
4 likelihood of success on their claim. Under 29 U.S.C. § 464(c), after a trusteeship has been in
5 place for 18 months, “the trusteeship shall be presumed invalid . . . and its discontinuance shall
6 be decreed unless the labor organization shall show by clear and convincing proof that the
7 continuation of the trusteeship is necessary for a purpose allowable under section 462 of this
8 title.” Allowable purposes include “correcting corruption or financial malpractice, assuring the
9 performance of collective bargaining agreements or other duties of a bargaining representative,
10 restoring democratic procedures, or otherwise carrying out the legitimate objects of such labor
11 organization.” 29 U.S.C. § 462.

12 The evidence presented by the plaintiffs only strengthens my prior ruling that the
13 trusteeship was initially imposed for a proper purpose, a conclusion with which the Department
14 of Labor has agreed. *See* ECF No. 220-2. There is ample evidence of chaos within Local 1107 in
15 the year preceding the trusteeship, including efforts by some members to decertify the union,
16 internal faction wars, inability to conduct meetings without devolving into shouting matches and
17 near physical altercations, the police being called on one occasion, and members obtaining
18 restraining orders against other members. *See* ECF Nos. 206, 208. Although the presumption of
19 the trusteeship’s validity has expired, this evidence bolsters the analysis as to why there is a clear
20 and convincing need to continue the trusteeship.

21 After instituting the trusteeship, the defendants faced several had pressing matters before
22 they could turn to restoring local control. They had to (1) address looming collective bargaining
23 negotiations, (2) tackle financial issues (including failure to pay appropriate taxes, file campaign

1 finance reports, and make required pension payments), (3) overhaul a malfunctioning grievance
2 procedure, (4) restore communication with members, and (5) repair the rift that had developed
3 between various sectors of the union. *See* ECF Nos. 220-6, 220-7. Additionally, the hearing
4 officer had concluded that some of the dysfunction at Local 1107 stemmed from its constitution
5 and bylaws. The trustees thus created a committee to work on amending the constitution and
6 bylaws, drawing on members from many different employers. ECF Nos. 220-7; 220-10; 220-11.
7 That committee has unanimously proposed a new constitution and bylaws, which is currently
8 being voted on by the union members. *Id.*

9 Discontinuing the trusteeship now would result in significant disruption to Local 1107.
10 That would restore it to a time when it had a dysfunctional executive board and was without a
11 president or vice president, because those two officers had been removed in disciplinary
12 proceedings. The trusteeship has addressed, and is addressing, proper statutory purposes such as
13 correcting financial malpractice and restoring democratic processes. The trustees intend to
14 return the union to local control by the first quarter of 2019. To disrupt the vote on the proposed
15 new constitution and subsequent officer elections would be to defeat, rather than actualize, union
16 democratic processes.

17 If the plaintiffs believe that the proposed new constitution is not in the members' best
18 interests, their remedy is to vote against it and to persuade their fellow union members to do the
19 same. If the constitution passes, then the trustees will set up elections for the officer positions,
20 which the plaintiffs can run for or support candidates who share their views. If they win, they
21 may attempt to make whatever changes they believe are appropriate.

22 But clear and convincing evidence before me shows that to go back to the pre-trusteeship
23 status quo now is to invite a repeat of the same chaotic conditions that led to the trusteeship in

1 the first place. A continuation of the trusteeship is necessary for the allowable purpose of
2 restoring democratic procedure at Local 1107, with a view to restoring local control in the
3 coming months. Because the plaintiffs have not shown a likelihood of success on the merits, I
4 deny the motion for a preliminary injunction.

5 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction
6 (ECF Nos. 206/209) is DENIED.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration (ECF No.
8 214) is DENIED.

9 DATED this 11th day of December, 2018.

10
11 
12 _____
13 ANDREW P. GORDON
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23