

1 **ROTHNER, SEGALL & GREENSTONE**  
 GLENN ROTHNER (*pro hac vice*)  
 2 JONATHAN COHEN (NSB 10551)  
 ELI NADURIS-WEISSMAN (*pro hac vice*)  
 3 510 South Marengo Avenue  
 Pasadena, California 91101-3115  
 4 Telephone: (626) 796-7555  
 Facsimile: (626) 577-0124  
 5 Email: grothner@rsglabor.com, jcohen@rsglabor.com;  
 enaduris-weissman@rsglabor.com

6 **CHRISTENSEN JAMES & MARTIN**  
 7 EVAN L. JAMES, ESQ. (7760)  
 KEVIN B. ARCHIBALD, ESQ. (13817)  
 8 7440 W. Sahara Avenue  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117  
 9 Telephone: (702) 255-1718  
 Facsimile: (702) 255-0871  
 10 Email: elj@cjmlv.com, kba@cjmlv.com

11 *Attorneys for Defendants Service Employees*  
*International Union; Luisa Blue; Mary Kay Henry;*  
 12 *and Nevada Service Employees Union*

13  
 14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 15 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
 16

17 RAYMOND GARCIA, *et al*,  
 18 Plaintiffs,  
 19 vs.  
 20 SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
 INTERNATIONAL UNION, *et al*,  
 21 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:17-cv-01340-APG-NJK

**[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR  
 DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO  
 RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'  
 MOTIONS TO SEAL [AS ORDERED IN  
 ECF NO. 253 and 258]**

**(First Request)**

22  
 23 CHERIE MANCINI, *et al.*,  
 Plaintiffs,  
 24 vs.  
 25 SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
 26 INTERNATIONAL UNION, *et al.*,  
 27 Defendants.  
 28

**[2] ORDER THEREON**

CASE NO. 2:17-cv-02137-APG-NJK

1 **MOTION TO EXTEND TIME**

2 1. Pursuant to two recently-issued orders of this Court, Defendants are ordered to file  
3 by January 30, 2019: (1) a response to Plaintiffs’ motion to seal, Docket No. 203 [see ECF No.  
4 253] and (2) an omnibus motion to seal twenty-seven documents that were the subject of three  
5 other prior motions to seal filed by Plaintiffs, Docket Nos. 216, 224, and 227 [see ECF No. 258].  
6 For the reasons below, Defendants request that the due date for both filings be extended by 9  
7 days, to February 8, 2019. This is the first extension request regarding the two responses, and  
8 Defendants do not anticipate making any further such requests.

9 2. January 30, 2019 is the dispositive motion cut-off in this case. As Defendants have  
10 previously apprised the Court [see ECF No. 247 at 4, and minutes of January 7, 2019 hearing],  
11 Defendants intend to file two separate motions for summary judgment by that date, addressing  
12 each of the operative complaints in this consolidated lawsuit. *Garcia, et al. v. Serv. Employees*  
13 *Int’l Union, et al.*, U.S.D.C. Case No. 2:17-CV-01340-APG-NJK; *Mancini, et al. v. Serv.*  
14 *Employees Int’l Union, et al.*, U.S.D.C. Case No. 2:17-cv-02137-APG-NJK. Defendants are  
15 busily at work to prepare these extensive motions.

16 3. In addition to the two summary judgment motions, Defendants are also busily  
17 preparing an answering brief due to the Ninth Circuit on or before February 5, 2019. This brief is  
18 in response to Plaintiffs’ appeal of the Court’s December 11, 2018 order denying their fourth motion  
19 for preliminary injunction. ECF No. 232. Defendants also anticipate that, pursuant to this Court’s  
20 January 15, 2019 order, Docket No. 249, they may have to file a reply to any opposition Plaintiffs’  
21 file to the supplement Defendants previously filed regarding sealing a number of documents (ECF  
22 No. 174) by January 29, 2019.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

