	ROTHNER, SEGALL & GREENSTONE GLENN ROTHNER (pro hac vice)			
	JONATHAN COHEN (NSB 10551) ELI NADURIS-WEISSMAN (<i>pro hac vice</i>)			
3	510 South Marengo Avenue Pasadena, California 91101-3115			
4	Telephone: (626) 796-7555 Facsimile: (626) 577-0124			
	Email: grothner@rsglabor.com, jcohen@rsglabor.com; enaduris-weissman@rsglabor.com			
6	CHRISTENSEN JAMES & MARTIN			
7	EVAN L. JAMES, ESQ. (7760) KEVIN B. ARCHIBALD, ESQ. (13817) 7440 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Telephone: (702) 255-1718 Facsimile: (702) 255-0871			
8				
9				
10	Email: elj@cjmlv.com, kba@cjmlv.com			
	Attorneys for Defendants Service Employees International Union; Luisa Blue; Mary Kay Henry; and Nevada Service Employees Union			
12				
13				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
16				
16 17	RAYMOND GARCIA, et al,	CASE NO. 2:17-cv-01340-APG-NJK		
	Plaintiffs,	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR		
17	Plaintiffs, vs.	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS'		
17 18	Plaintiffs,	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO		
17 18 19	Plaintiffs, vs. SERVICE EMPLOYEES	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO SEAL [AS ORDERED IN		
17 18 19 20	Plaintiffs, vs. SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al, Defendants.	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO SEAL [AS ORDERED IN ECF NO. 253 and 258]		
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiffs, vs. SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al, Defendants. CHERIE MANCINI, et al.,	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO SEAL [AS ORDERED IN ECF NO. 253 and 258] (First Request)		
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiffs, vs. SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al, Defendants.	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO SEAL [AS ORDERED IN ECF NO. 253 and 258] (First Request) [2] ORDER THEREON		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiffs, vs. SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al, Defendants. CHERIE MANCINI, et al., Plaintiffs, vs.	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO SEAL [AS ORDERED IN ECF NO. 253 and 258] (First Request) [2] ORDER THEREON		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiffs, vs. SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al, Defendants. CHERIE MANCINI, et al., Plaintiffs,	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO SEAL [AS ORDERED IN ECF NO. 253 and 258] (First Request) [2] ORDER THEREON		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiffs, vs. SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al, Defendants. CHERIE MANCINI, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. SERVICE EMPLOYEES	[1] MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE TWO RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS TO SEAL [AS ORDERED IN ECF NO. 253 and 258] (First Request) [2] ORDER THEREON		

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME

- 1. Pursuant to two recently-issued orders of this Court, Defendants are ordered to file by January 30, 2019: (1) a response to Plaintiffs' motion to seal, Docket No. 203 [see ECF No. 253] and (2) an omnibus motion to seal twenty-seven documents that were the subject of three other prior motions to seal filed by Plaintiffs, Docket Nos. 216, 224, and 227 [see ECF No. 258]. For the reasons below, Defendants request that the due date for both filings be extended by 9 days, to February 8, 2019. This is the first extension request regarding the two responses, and Defendants do not anticipate making any further such requests.
- 2. January 30, 2019 is the dispositive motion cut-off in this case. As Defendants have previously apprised the Court [see ECF No. 247 at 4, and minutes of January 7, 2019 hearing], Defendants intend to file two separate motions for summary judgment by that date, addressing each of the operative complaints in this consolidated lawsuit. *Garcia, et al. v. Serv. Employees Int'l Union, et al.*, U.S.D.C. Case No. 2:17-CV-01340-APG-NJK; *Mancini, et al. v. Serv. Employees Int'l Union, et al.*, U.S.D.C. Case No. 2:17-cv-02137-APG-NJK. Defendants are busily at work to prepare these extensive motions.
- 3. In addition to the two summary judgment motions, Defendants are also busily preparing an answering brief due to the Ninth Circuit on or before February 5, 2019. This brief is in response to Plaintiffs' appeal of the Court's December 11, 2018 order denying their fourth motion for preliminary injunction. ECF No. 232. Defendants also anticipate that, pursuant to this Court's January 15, 2019 order, Docket No. 249, they may have to file a reply to any opposition Plaintiffs' file to the supplement Defendants previously filed regarding sealing a number of documents (ECF No. 174) by January 29, 2019.

23 ||///

///

25 ||///

26 11///

27 ||///

28 | | / / /

1	4. Defendants asked	Plainti	ffs for their position regarding this extension request by	
2	e-mail on January 18, 2019 at 10:34 a.m., but as of this filing have not received any response			
3	from Plaintiffs.			
4				
5	DATED: January 22, 2019		HNER, SEGALL & GREENSTONE	
6		JON A	NN ROTHNER (pro hac vice) ATHAN COHEN	
7			NADURIS-WEISSMAN (pro hac vice)	
8			ISTENSEN JAMES & MARTIN N L. JAMES	
9		Dv	/s/Eli Naduris Waissman	
10	By /s/ Eli Naduris-Weissman Eli Naduris-Weissman (pro hac vice) 510 South Marengo Avenue			
11	Pasadena, CA 91101 Tel.: (626) 769-7555; Fax: (626) 577-0124			
12	Attorneys for Defendants Service Employees International Union; Luisa Blue; Mary Kay Henry; and Nevada Service Employees Union			
13				
14				
15			ORDER	
16				
17	No response having been filed, see Docket No. 262, and for good cause shown, this motion to extend is GRANTED .		IT IS SO ORDERED:	
18			HONORABLE NANCY J. KOPPE,	
19			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
20			DATED: January 24, 2019	
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

28