Affinity Netw	vork, Inc. v. Inteliquent, Inc.	Ooc. 9
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7	AFFINITY NETWORK, INC.,) Case No. 2:17-cv-01397-JCM-NJK	
8	Plaintiff(s), ORDER	
9	v. (Docket No. 5)	
10	INTELIQUENT, INC.,	
11	Defendant(s).	
12		
13	This case is at its inception. Counsel have presented to the Court a dispute that should not exist.	
14	In particular, Defendant currently seeks a 14-day extension to the deadline to respond to the complaint	
15	(despite previously seeking from opposing counsel agreement to a 10-day extension) and Plaintiff	
16	refuses to agree to more than a 7-day extension. See Docket Nos. 5, 7. The briefing is filled with	
17	counter-accusations of unreasonable behavior, and unsubtle accusations of deception and bad faith.	
18	Counsel are expected to zealously advocate for their clients, but the Court will not allow this case to	
19	be litigated in contravention of the rules requiring civility and professionalism. See, e.g., Local	
20	Rule 1-1(c). Counsel are hereby WARNED that they shall adhere to the requirements of civility and	
21	professionalism moving forward.	
22	Turning to the merits of the motion, the Court finds good cause for a 10-day extension. The	
23	motion is therefore GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.	
24	NO FURTHER EXTENSIONS WILL BE GRANTED.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	DATED: May 25, 2017	
27	NANCY J. KOPPE	
28	United States Magistrate Judge	