1
2
3
4    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5    DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6    * * *

7    FIRST 100, LLC,                                     Case No. 2:17-CV-1438 JCM (CWH)

8                                    Plaintiff(s),        ORDER

9          v.

10   CENLAR FSB, et al.,

11                                   Defendant(s).

12

13        Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation.

14   (ECF No. 51).  No objections have been filed, and the deadline for filing objections has since

15   passed.

16        This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or

17   recommendations made by the magistrate."  28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).  Where a party timely objects

18   to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo

19   determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."

20   28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

21        Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at

22   all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."  Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149

23   (1985).  Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a

24   magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed.  See United

25   States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review

26   employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no

27   objections were made).

28

**James C. Mahan**
**U.S. District Judge**

1  Plaintiff has not objected to the report and recommendation.  Nevertheless, this court finds

2  it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine whether to adopt the recommendation of

3  the magistrate judge.  Upon reviewing the recommendation and underlying briefs, the court finds

4  that good cause appears to adopt the magistrate judge's findings.

5  Accordingly,

6  IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Magistrate Judge

7  Hoffman's report and recommendation (ECF No. 51) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED in

8  its entirety.

9  IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that First 100, LLC's complaint be, and the same hereby is,

10  DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

11  DATED June 11, 2018.

12  _____
13  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**James C. Mahan**
**U.S. District Judge**

- 2 -