Snell & Wilmer LLP LAW Description 1283 Howard Hughes Part Vegas, Nevada 89169 T02.784.5200	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Jeffrey Willis, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4797 Holly E. Cheong, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11936 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 784-5200 Facsimile: (702) 784-5222 Email: jwillis@swlaw.com hcheong@swlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff HSBC Bank, USA, Nationa Association, as Trustee for Mortgageit Securities Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2007-1, Mortgage F Through Certificates UNITED STATES I DISTRICT O HSBC BANK, USA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR MORTGAGEI SECURITIES CORP. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007-1, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, a national banking association; Plaintiff, Vs. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; VIA VALENCIA/VIA VENTURA HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; ABSOLUTE COLLECTION SERVICES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; Defendants.	Corp. Pass- DISTRICT COURT

Snell & Wilmer <u>LAW DEFICES</u> 1883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 6

7

 HSBC BANK, USA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR
 MORTGAGEIT SECURITIES CORP. MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2007 1, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, national banking
 association, CITIMORTGAGE, INC.; MAGELLAN A. AQUINO, an individual,
 Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant HSBC 8 Bank, USA, National Association, as Trustee for Mortgageit Securities Corp. Mortgage Loan 9 Trust. Series 2007-1. Pass-Through Certificates ("HSBC 10 Mortgage Bank"). Defendant/Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant SFR Investment Pool 1, LLC ("SFR"), Defendant 11 Via Valencia/Via Ventura Homeowners Association (the "HOA,"), and Defendant Absolute 12 Collection Services (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel: 13

14 1. This lawsuit is one of hundreds where the parties dispute the effect of a non15 judicial foreclosure sale held by an HOA pursuant to NRS 116.3116 *et seq*. (the "Statute") for an
16 owner's failure to pay HOA assessments.

2. On August 12, 2016, the Ninth Circuit issued a decision in *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 832 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2016), holding that NRS 116.3116 *et seq.* is facially unconstitutional under the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the
United States Constitution.

3. On March 1, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court issued a decision in *Saticoy Bay LLC Series 350 Durango 104 v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage*, 388 P.3d 970 (Nev. 2017), holding
 that NRS 116.3116 *et seq.* is not facially unconstitutional.

4. On May 3, 2017, this Court filed a certified question with the Nevada Supreme
Court in *SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. Bank of New York Mellon*, Case No. 72931, requesting
that the Nevada Supreme Court determine whether incorporation of NRS 107.090 into NRS
116.31168 requires homeowners' associations to provide notices of default to lenders, even when
lenders do not affirmatively request notice (the "Certified Question").

5. Staying this case pending a remittitur in the Certified Question will enable the parties to present arguments and evidence to this Court with complete legal authority, thereby promoting the most efficient use of the Court's and the parties' limited resources.

6. Because the Certified Question is fully briefed and submitted for decision without
oral argument before the Nevada Supreme Court, the stay is not indefinite.

7. Accordingly, the parties request that all proceedings in this lawsuit are stayed, and all upcoming deadlines, hearings, and conferences be vacated.

8 8. The parties agree that SFR will maintain the property at issue in its current
9 condition and will abide by all obligations and responsibilities arising from SFR's alleged
10 ownership interest in the property, including but not limited to the payment of all applicable fees,
11 assessments, taxes, and other financial obligations.

The parties agree that upon written request and reasonable notice, SFR shall allow
 HSBC Bank access to the property to inspect and ensure the property is being reasonably
 maintained.

10. The parties agree that HSBC Bank will not pursue foreclosure against the property at issue during the stay.

17 11. The parties agree that SFR will not sell, transfer, or convey the property while this18 case is stayed.

19 12. The parties further agree that their claims and defenses will be tolled, if necessary,
20 for the duration of the stay, beginning on the date this stipulation is filed until the Court issues an
21 order lifting the stay.

13. This stay will expire after the Nevada Supreme Court issues a remittitur in theCertified Question.

24 14. Within fifteen (15) days after the remittitur is issued, the parties shall file a notice
25 informing the Court that the stay has expired.

26 15. The parties agree that upon dissolution of this stay, the parties will meet and confer
27 and submit a stipulation and order setting forth an updated discovery schedule.

28 ///

 Snell
 Wilmer

 LAW OFFICES
 LLP.

 1.1.D.
 LAW OFFICES

 1.1.1
 Law offices

 1.1.2
 Law offices

 1.1.3
 Versions, Neveral 399169

 1.1
 702.784.5200

 1.1
 12

1

2

3

6

7

12

1	16. SFR and HSBC Bank agree that	HSBC Bank shall respond to SFR's written				
2	discovery requests, served on or about April 6, 2018, within forty-five (45) days after an order					
3	lifting the stay is entered.					
4	17. SFR agrees to vacate HSBC Bank's deposition, currently set for April 25, 2018.					
5	After an order lifting the stay is entered, the parties will provide HSBC Bank with at least sixty					
6	(60) days notice of any deposition of HSBC Bank's person most knowledgeable, or any other					
7	employee, representative, or agent of HSBC Bank identified for any deposition in this matter.					
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.					
9	DATED this 17 th day of April, 2018	DATED this 17 th day of April, 2018				
10	By: <u>/s/ Holly E. Cheong</u>	By: <u>/s/ Diana S. Ebron</u>				
11	Jeffrey Willis, Esq.	Diana S. Ebron, Esq.				
12	Nevada Bar No. 4797	Nevada Bar No. 10580				
12	Holly E. Cheong, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 11936	Jacqueline A. Gilbert, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10593				
13	Snell & Wilmer, L.L.P.	Karen L. Hanks, Esq.				
3 14	3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100	Nevada Bar No. 9578				
	Las Vegas, NV 89169	Kim Gilbert Ebron				
15	Telephone: (702) 784-5200	7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110				
16	Facsimile: (702) 784-5252	Las Vegas, NV 89139				
	jwillis@swlaw.com	Telephone: (702) 485-3300				
17	hcheong@swlaw.com	Facsimile: (702) 485-3301				
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff HSBC Bank, USA,	diana@kgelegal.com				
10	National Association, as Trustee for Montagasit Securities Comp. Montagas	jackie@kgelegal.com karen@kgelegal.com				
19	Mortgageit Securities Corp. Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2007-1, Mortgage Pass-	Attorneys for Defendant SFR				
20	Through Certificates	Investments Pool 1, LLC				
21	SIGNATURES CONTINU	IED ON NEXT PAGE				
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

Snell & Wilmer LAW OFFICES 1883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

1	DATED this 17 th day of April, 2018 DATED this 17 th day of April, 20	18		
2				
3	Shane D. Cox, Esq.Ashlie L. Surur, Esq.Nevada Bar No. 13852Nevada Bar No. 11290			
4	Absolute Collection Services, LLC 8440 West Lake Mead Boulevard, Suite 7425 Peak Drive			
5	Ý			
6	Las Vegas, NV 89128 Telephone: (702) 316-4111 Telephone: (702) 531-3394 Facsimile: (702) 316-4114			
7	Facsimile: (702) 531-3396asurur@lawhjc.com			
-	shane@absolute-collection.com Attorneys for Defendant Via	owners		
8	Collection, LLC Association	<i>ywners</i>		
9	ORDER			
10				
11	The Court having considered the attached stipulation of the parties, IT IS ORDERED			
12	2 THAT:			
13	3			
14	1. All proceedings in this lawsuit are stayed, and all upcoming deadlines, hear	ings, and		
15	conferences be vacated.			
16	2. SFR will maintain the property at issue in its current condition and will abide by all			
17	obligations and responsibilities arising from SFR's alleged ownership interest in the			
18	property, including but not limited to the payment of all applicable fees, ass	essments,		
19	taxes, and other financial obligations.			
20	3. Upon written request and reasonable notice, SFR shall allow HSBC Bank acc	ess to the		
21	property to inspect and ensure the property is being reasonably maintained.			
22	4. HSBC Bank will not pursue foreclosure against the property at issue during the stay.			
23	5. SFR will not sell, transfer, or convey the property while this case is stayed.			
24	6. The parties' claims and defenses will be tolled, if necessary, for the duration of	the stay,		
25	beginning on the date this stipulation is filed until the Court issues an order	ifting the		
26	5 stay.			
27	7. This stay will expire after the Nevada Supreme Court issues a remittitur in the	Certified		
28	Question, SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. Bank of New York Mellon, Case No. 7	2931.		
	-			
	- 5 -			

Suite 1100

3883 Howard Las V

Snell & Wilmer

8. Within fifteen (15) days after the remittitur is issued, the parties shall file a notice informing the Court that the stay has expired.

9. Upon dissolution of this stay, the parties will meet and confer and submit a stipulation and order setting forth an updated discovery schedule.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE Dated: April 19, 2018.