Pathak v. Fuller et a	μ I	Doc. 20
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7		
8	* * *	
9	ANSHU B. PATHAK,	Case No. 2: 17-cv-01571-JCM-VCF
10	Plaintiff,	
11	v.	ORDER
12	CHRIS FULLER, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14	Presently before the court is the matter of Pathak v. Fuller et al, case no. 2:17-cv-01571-	
15	JCM-VCF.	
16	On October 12, 2018, pursuant to Local Rule 41-1, the clerk of the court informed	
17	plaintiff that, if no action was taken in this case within thirty days, the court would dismiss the	
18	case for want of prosecution. (ECF No. 18). The clerk set a LR 41-1 dismissal deadline of	
19	November 11, 2018. That deadline has long passed, and plaintiff has taken no action to	
20	prosecute this case.	
21	Accordingly,	
22	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the case of Pathak v.	
23	Fuller et al, case no. 2:17-cv-01571-JCM-VCF, be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED. The	
24	clerk of court is instructed to close the case.	
25	DATED THIS 11 <sup>th</sup> day of July 2019.	
26		
27		Xerris C. Mahan
28		JAMES C. MAHAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
l		

Dockets.Justia.com