

Pending before the Court is Defendant HOA’s motion to stay discovery pending resolution of its motion to dismiss. *See* Docket No. 26; *see also* Docket No. 14 (motion to dismiss). Plaintiff filed a response in opposition, and Defendant filed a reply. Docket No. 27, 30. As Defendant’s moving papers acknowledge, one of the requirements for seeking a stay of all discovery pending resolution of a motion to dismiss is that the motion to dismiss is potentially case-dispositive in scope. *See* Docket No. 26 at 4 (discussing *Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. Oct. 29, 2013)); *see also* *Tradebay, LLC v. eBay, Inc.*, 278 F.R.D. 597, 602 (“the pending motion must be potentially dispositive of the entire case or at least dispositive on the issue on which discovery is sought”). In this case, Defendant’s motion to dismiss on its face challenges only Plaintiff’s quiet title/declaratory relief claim. *See* Docket No. 14 at 7-9. While Defendant argues in conjunction with the stay motion that a ruling in its favor on the motion to dismiss will also impact the other claims brought by Plaintiff, *see* Docket No. 30 at 2, it fails to show that a ruling in its favor on the motion to dismiss will actually dispose of the entire case such that a blanket stay of discovery is warranted.

1 Accordingly, the motion to stay discovery is **DENIED**.
2
3 IT IS SO ORDERED.
4
5 DATED: September 12, 2017

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge
