

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
6

7 JOANN JAMES,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 ROBERT DEJONG, *et al.*,

11 Defendants.
12

Case No. 2:17-cv-01594-APG-GWF

ORDER

13 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Applications to Proceed *in Forma*
14 *Pauperis* (ECF No. 12 and 13), filed on February 6, 2018.

15 On January 18, 2018, the Court issued its screening order that granted Plaintiff leave to
16 proceed in this action *in forma pauperis*. See ECF No. 11. Plaintiff's new applications to proceed
17 *in forma pauperis* are therefore moot because the Court already granted Plaintiff this relief.

18 The screening order also addressed the deficiencies in Plaintiff's complaint and gave
19 Plaintiff until February 20, 2018 to file an amended complaint. *Id.* On February 6, 2018, Plaintiff
20 filed a Writ of Execution (ECF No. 15) that the Court interprets as Plaintiff's attempt at filing an
21 amended complaint. The Court understands that it is difficult for a *pro se* litigant to navigate the
22 complexities of the legal system; but Plaintiff's filing is not a proper amended complaint and even
23 construing it as such, it suffers from the same fatal flaws as the original. The Court will still allow
24 Plaintiff the opportunity to amend the complaint and Plaintiff is advised to refer to the Court's
25 screening order for instructions on how to do so. Accordingly,

26 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Applications to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis*
27 (ECF No. 12 and 13) are **denied** as moot.

28 . . .

