| 1 | GARMAN TURNER GORDON LLP | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | ERIC R. OLSEN Nevada Bar No. 3127 | | | | | |
| 3 | Email: eolsen@gtg.legal ANDREW P. DUNNING | | | | | |
| 4 | Nevada Bar No. 13864 Email: adunning@gtg.legal | | | | | |
| 5 | 650 White Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 | | | | | |
| 6 | Tel: (725) 777-3000 Attorneys for Plaintiff | | | | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | |
| 8 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | | | | |
| 9 | RANDOLPH DAY, | Case No. 2:17-cv-01596-JAD-CWH | | | | |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | STIPULATION AND ORDER TO | | | | |
| 11 | v. | EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES | | | | |
| 12 | LONGVUE MORTGAGE CAPITAL INC., | [THIRD REQUEST] | | | | |
| 13 | as Trustee for WESTSVUE NPL TRUST II; FIRST AMERICAN SOLUTIONS, LLC and DOES I-X; and ROE Corporations I-X, inclusive, | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | Defendants. | | | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff Randolph Day ("Plaintiff") and Defendant, Long Vue Mortgage Capital, Inc., as | | | | | |
| 18 | Trustee for WestVue NPL Trust II ("Defendant") (collectively the "Parties") by and through | | | | | |
| 19 | their respective counsels of record, hereby submi | t the following Stipulation and Order to extend | | | | |
| 20 | discovery deadlines for 30 days. | | | | | |
| 21 | A. <u>DISCOVERY COMPLETED TO DAT</u> | <u>E</u> : | | | | |
| 22 | Defendant served its Initial Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents on September 7, | | | | | |
| 23 | 2018. On November 28, 2018, Defendant served written discovery and noticed the deposition of | | | | | |
| 24 | Plaintiff for January 8, 2019. Plaintiff responded to Defendant's written discovery on December | | | | | |
| 25 | 31, 2018. On January 4, 2019, Defendants served supplemental disclosures. Plaintiff served | | | | | |
| 26 | written discovery on or about January 15, 2019, and Defendants responded on February 28, | | | | | |
| 27 | 2019. | | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | | |
| Gordon | | | | | | |

| 1 | Defendant served its Second Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents on | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | March 7, 2019, and deposed Plaintiff on March 8, 2019. | | | | |
| 3 | В. | B. <u>DISCOVERY ANTICIPATED TO BE COMPLETED IN THE FUTURE:</u> | | | |
| 4 | | 1. | Deposition of non-party Mary Day, currently scheduled for Tuesday, April 9, | | |
| 5 | | 2019; | | | |
| 6 | | 2. | Deposition of Defendant's FRCP 30(b)(6) designee, currently scheduled for | | |
| 7 | | Thurs | day, April 25, 2019; | | |
| 8 | | 3. | In-person inspection of LongVue collateral file and related documentation, to be | | |
| 9 | | sched | uled; and | | |
| 10 | | 4. | Subpoenas duces tecum for the following entities and individuals: | | |
| 11 | | | a. Flagstar Bank; | | |
| 12 | | | b. First American Trustee Servicing Solutions, LLC; | | |
| 13 | | | c. Northwest Trustee Services; | | |
| 14 | | | d. FCI Lender Services, Inc.; and | | |
| 15 | | | e. Sharon Morgan. | | |
| 16 | C. | REAS | SONS WHY DISCOVERY SHOULD BE EXTENDED: | | |
| 17 | | Good | cause exists to extend the April 11, 2019, discovery deadline by an additional 30 | | |
| 18 | days. | The I | Parties request an extension because discovery cannot be reasonably concluded | | |
| 19 | despite the Parties' diligence to date. See Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, | | | | |
| 20 | 608-09 (9th Cir. 1992). After Plaintiff's March 8, 2019, deposition, Defendant noticed the | | | | |
| 21 | deposition of non-party Mary Day. Furthermore, the Parties agreed to schedule the Deposition | | | | |
| 22 | of Defendants' FRCP 30(b)(6) designee to April 25, 2019, beyond the current discovery | | | | |
| 23 | deadline. Finally, the Parties are ascertaining the necessity of third-party subpoenas duces | | | | |
| 24 | tecum, and supplemental disclosures relating to the same. | | | | |
| 25 | | The F | Parties further agree that they need to complete outstanding discovery to properly | | |
| 26 | resolve this matter on the merits, beginning with dispositive motions. See Nelson v. Safeco Ins. | | | | |
| 27 | Co. of Illinois, 2011 WL 13848, at *2 (D. Nev. Jan. 4, 2011). This is the Parties' third request | | | | |
| 28 | for an | exten | sion of discovery, and the Parties anticipate that no further extensions will be | | |
| ordon | | | | | |

necessary. Again, the Parties seek a modest, 30-day extension, and do not intend to cause any undue delay or prejudice. Consequently, good cause exists under Rule 16(b)(4) to modify the current discovery schedule.

Although the Parties make this request within 21 days of the current discovery deadline, 4 5 the request is permissible. See LR 26-4 ("A motion or stipulation to extend a deadline set forth in a discovery plan must be received by the court no later than 21 days before the expiration of 6 the subject deadline."). First, the Parties do not ask to reopen any lapsed discovery deadline (e.g. 7 expert deadlines) at this time, and the current April 11, 2019, discovery cutoff has not passed. 8 9 Second, to the extent the requested extension is required to timely request, obtain, and exchange 10 outstanding discovery, the extension is necessitated by mere excusable neglect: logistical issues associated with prospective deposition scheduling and disclosures support a common-sense 11 extension. Bateman v. U.S. Postal Service, 231 F.3d 1220, 1223-24 (9th Cir. 2000) (citing 12 Pioneer Investment Services Co. v. Brunswick Assoc. Ltd. Partnership, 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1993) 13 and noting that "[t]he determination of whether neglect is excusable is an equitable one that 14 15 depends on at least four factors: (1) the danger of prejudice to the opposing party; (2) the length of the delay and its potential impact on the proceedings; (3) the reason for the delay; and (4) 16 whether the movant acted in good faith."). 17

18

1

2

3

D. <u>PROPOSED DISCOVERY EXTENSION</u>:

| 19 | 1. | 1. Current Discovery Schedule Per the March 6, 2019, Order (Docket No. 48): | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|---|----------|-------------------|--|
| 20 | | Deadline to complete discovery: | | April 11, 2019 | |
| 21 | | Deadline to amend pleadings/add | parties: | November 13, 2018 | |
| 22 | | Initial expert disclosures: | | December 13, 2018 | |
| 23 | | Rebuttal expert disclosures: | | January 14, 2019 | |
| 24 | | Dispositive motion deadline: | | April 11, 2019 | |
| 25 | /// | | | | |
| 26 | /// | | | | |
| 27 | /// | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | |
| Gordon ite 100 a 89119 | | 3 (| of 4 | | |

Garman Turner Gordon 650 White Dr., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (725) 777-3000

| 1 | 2. Proposed Stipulated Discovery So | chedule: | |
|---|---|---|-------|
| 2 | Deadline to complete discovery: | May 11, 2019 | |
| 3 | Deadline to amend pleadings/add | parties: November 13, 2018 (no change | ;) |
| 4 | Initial expert disclosures: | December 13, 2018 (no change |) |
| 5 | Rebuttal expert disclosures: | January 14, 2019 (no change) | |
| 6 | Dispositive motion deadline: | June 11, 2019 | |
| 7 | DATED this 4 th day of April, 2019. | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | GARMAN TURNER GORDON LLP | WRIGHT FINLAY & ZAK, LLP | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | By: <u>/s/ Andrew P. Dunning</u> Eric R. Olsen | By: <u>/s/ Ramir M. Hernandez</u> Christopher A.J. Swift | |
| 12 | NV Bar No. 3127 | NV Bar No. 11291 | |
| 13 | Andrew P. Dunning NV Bar No. 13864 | Ramir M. Hernandez NV Bar No. 13146 | |
| 14 | 650 White Drive, Suite 100 | 7785 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 200 | |
| 15 | Las Vegas, NV 89119 Attorneys for Plaintiff | • | ngVue |
| 16 | | Mortgage | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | \wedge | | |
| 20 | JA JA | FIED STATES NAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 21 | DA | TED: April 8, 20/9 | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | \bigcirc | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | 4831-0624-2448, v. 2 | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| Garman Turner Gordon 650 White Dr., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (725) 777-3000 | 4 с | of 4 | |