UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Robert Dixon,

Petitioner

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

Nevada Department of Parole and Probation, et al.,

Respondents

Case No.: 2:17-cy-01636-JAD-GWF

Dismissal Order

Pro se petitioner and parolee Robert Dixon was convicted in 1989 of two counts of trafficking a controlled substance, and he received a life sentence for each count. An amended judgment was entered, making Dixon eligible for parole after 15 years on the first count and after 25 years on the second count.² He petitions for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and argues that his parole violates the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments because his sentence violates NRS 453.3395(2).3

This is Dixon's second attempt to petition for relief in this case. When I screened his first petition, I found that he had not named the proper respondents, and I ordered him to fix that deficiency in an amended petition by naming "his parole officer, the officer in charge of the state parole agency, [and] the state attorney general as required by the petition form, and possibly the official in charge of the state department of corrections."⁴ Dixon did not do so; his amended petition still has the same incorrect-respondent deficiencies that I previously identified.

22

21

23

24

¹ ECF No. 7 at 3. 25

² *Id*. 26

⁴ ECF No. 4.

I gave Dixon one final chance to amend his petition and name the appropriate respondents.⁵ Dixon had until July 9, 2018, to file a second-amended petition with the correct respondents. That deadline has come and gone without a second-amended petition. 4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for 5 failure to comply with two orders to name the proper respondents. The Clerk of Court is directed to ENTER JUDGMENT accordingly and CLOSE THIS CASE. Dated: July 17, 2018 ⁵ ECF No. 9.