Doc. 19

1	Whereas an Opposition to Motion To Dismiss was filed on November 14, 2017 (ECF		
2	No. 14).		
3	Whereas the deadline to Respond to the Opposition is due November 21, 2017.		
4	Whereas to accommodate scheduling conflicts that counsel for Defendants has, the		
5	parties have agreed to extend the deadline to file the Response to the Opposition to November		
6	29, 2017.		
7	It Is Hereby Stipulated that the deadline to file the Response To The Opposition To		
8	Motion To Dismiss be extended from November 21, 2017 to November 29, 2017.		
9	SO STIPULATED	SO STIPULATED	
10	DATED this 21 st day of November, 2017.	DATED this 21st day of November, 2017.	
11		CHRISTENSEN JAMES & MARTIN	
12	MINCIN LAW, PLLC		
13	By: /s/ David Mincin David Mincin, Esq. State Bar No.5427	By: /s/ Evan L. James Evan L. James, Esq. State Bar No. 7760	
14	7465 W. Lake Mead Boulevard, #100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128	7440 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117	
15	Attorney for Z-Glass, Inc., Zetian Systems, Inc.,	Attorney for Board of Trustees of the Glazing Health and Welfare	
16	and Zetian Systems, Inc., and Zetian Systems West, Inc.	Fund, et al.	
17			
18			
19	ORDER		
20	Based on the foregoing Stipulation between the parties:		
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the deadline to file the Response To Opposition To		
22	Motion To Dismiss be extended from November 21, 2017 to November 29, 2017.		
23	Dated: November 22, 2017.		
24	A MOVE		
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
25	_ U	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
	Ţ	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	

28