1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 Stanford B. Peralta, Case No. 2:17-cv-1684-APG-CWH 5 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO EXTEND SERVICE DEADLINE 6 v. (ECF Nos. 9, 10) 7 MTC Financial, Inc., et al., 8 Defendants. 9 10 On October 23, 2017, this case was dismissed because the plaintiff failed to file proof of 11 service or show good cause for his failure to timely serve the defendants. ECF No. 8. The plaintiff 12 now moves for an extension of the deadline to serve the defendants. ECF Nos. 9, 10. But the 13 plaintiff fails to explain why he did not timely serve the defendants, or why an extension is justified. 14 Thus, there is no good cause to extend the time for service or reverse the order of dismissal. 15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the plaintiff's motion to extend time for service (ECF 16 Nos. 9, 10) is DENIED. 17 Dated: October 31, 2017. 18 ANDREW P. GORDON 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28