

1 MICHAEL D. RAWLINS, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 5467
 2 **DURHAM JONES & PINEGAR**
 10785 West Twain Avenue, #200
 3 Las Vegas, NV 89135
 Telephone: (702) 870-6060
 4 Facsimile: (702) 870-6090
 Email: mrawlins@djplaw.com
 5 *Attorney for Defendant*

6
 7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9 PRO-TECT SECURITY SERVICES, LLC,)
 a Nevada Limited Liability Company,) Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-01685-JAD-NJK
 10)
 Plaintiff,)
 11)
 v.)
 12)
 INTEGRATED SYSTEM)
 IMPROVEMENT SERVICES, INC. d/b/a)
 14 SPECIAL INTELLIGENCE SERVICE, an)
 Arizona Corporation.)
 15)
 Defendant.)
 16)
 17)
 18)

19 **STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE FEDERAL RULE 26(f)**
CONFERENCE (SECOND REQUEST)

20 Plaintiff Pro-Tect Security Services, LLC by and through its counsel of record,
 21 Gary E. Schnitzer, Esq. and Adam Wax, Esq. of the law firm Kravitz, Schnitzer & Johnson,
 22 Chtd., and Integrated Systems Improvement Services Inc. d/b/a Special Intelligence
 23 Services, by and through its counsel of record, Michael D. Rawlins, Esq. of the law firm
 24 Durham Jones & Pinegar, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the Federal Rule 26(f)
 25 conference deadline for an additional 30 days, to October 27, 2017.

26 This request is made to allow counsel to continue to investigate a possible conflict
 27 of interest. Plaintiff's principal has used defendant's counsel's firm for legal
 28

1 services, and plaintiff's counsel has raised the issue whether defendant's counsel has a
2 conflict of interest. Defendant's counsel has provided its position to plaintiff, and
3 plaintiff has responded. Defendant's counsel is now evaluating the conflict based on
4 information provided by plaintiff and a detailed review of their files.

5 Counsel have discussed this issue, have exchanged correspondence on the same,
6 and have concluded there is a need to continue the conference deadline from September
7 27, 2017 to October 27, 2017 to allow the parties to explore the make informed
8 decisions about the conflicts issue. The parties believe that sharing of information and
9 consultation with conflicts counsel is prudent at this time. If the parties are unable to
10 come to an agreement, it is possible that a motion for disqualification will be filed by
11 plaintiff.

12 This extension is made for good cause and not for purposes of delay.

13 **IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED.**

14 KRAVITZ, SCHNITZER & JOHNSON

DURHAM JONES & PINEGAR

15
16 By 

Gary E. Schnitzer, Esq
NV Bar No.395
Adam J. Wax, Esq.
NV Bar No. 12126
8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Attorneys for Plaintiff

15
16 By 

Michael D. Rawlins
NV Bar No. 5467
10785 W. Twain Ave., Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89135
Attorneys for Defendant

21
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: September 27, 2017

24
25 
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27
28